STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Shri Mathuradas Mohota College of Science, Nagpur

Feedback on Teaching-Learning by Students

Sr. No.	Department	Name of Teacher	Page No.
		FEEDBACK BY UG STUDENTS	
1.	Botany	Prof. A. A. Fulzele	3.
2.		Prof. S. K. Giri	4.
3.		Prof. A. M. Sawane	5.
4.		Ms. S. D. Khalatkar	6.
5.	Chemistry	Prof. M. G. Dhonde	7.
6.		Prof. R. R. Gupta	8.
7.		Prof. C. B. Talwatkar	9.
8.	Computer Science	Mr. S. B. Agarmore (CS)	10.
9.		Mr. S. B. Agarmore (BCA)	11.
10.		Mr. S. B. Agarmore (IT)	12.
11.		Ms. V. Asatkar (CS)	13.
12.		Ms. V. Asatkar (BCA)	14.
13.		Ms. V. Asatkar (IT)	15.
14.		Ms. Fatema	16.
15.		Ms. B. Tijare	17.
16.		Ms. R. Awasthi	18.
17.		Ms. C. Bhoyar	19.
18.		Dr. S. Mankar	20.
19.		Ms. H. Nandurkar	21.
20.		Ms. G. Waghulkar	22.
21.		Ms. V. Kakani	23.
22.		Ms. D. Dudhe	24.
23.	Electronics	Dr. N. D. Meshram	25.
24.		Mr. A. Kshirsagar	26.
25.	Geology	Dr. S. P. Joshi	27.
26.		Ms. S. Deshpande	28.
27.		Dr. N. Taksande	29.
28.		Mr. N. Tijare	30.
29.	Languages	Dr. J. A. Janbandhu (Comp. English)	31.
30.		Ms. N. Bhure	32.
31.		Dr. J. A. Janbandhu (Supp. English)	33.
32.		Ms. N. Khan (Comp. English)	34.
33.		Ms. N. Khan (Supp. English)	35.
34.	Mathematics	Mr. S. T. Rathod	36.
35.		Ms. M. Kullarkar	37.
36.	Microbiology	Ms. N. Bajirao	38.
37.	Physics	Dr. M. S. Atone	39.

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Prof. A. A. Fulzele, Head**   Department: **Botany**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.11	4.58	4.33	4.72	**4.44**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.40	4.63	4.46	4.60	**4.52**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.25	4.67	4.55	4.58	**4.51**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.29	4.72	4.55	4.64	**4.55**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.24	4.51	4.46	4.44	**4.41**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.27	4.63	4.55	4.68	**4.53**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.31	4.53	4.34	4.36	**4.39**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.22	4.51	4.44	4.60	**4.44**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.12	4.63	4.63	4.66	**4.51**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.19	4.61	4.48	4.46	**4.44**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.23	4.38	4.15	4.26	**4.26**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.03	3.74	4.25	3.94	**3.99**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.22	4.57	4.47	4.58	**4.46**
Average		**4.22**	**4.52**	**4.44**	**4.50**	**4.42**
No. of students giving feedback		**55**	**76**	**77**	**50**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Prof. S. K. Giri**   Department: **Botany**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem IV)	Score (Sem V)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.65	4.59	4.44	**4.56**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.72	4.53	4.36	**4.54**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.46	4.47	4.37	**4.43**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.42	4.63	4.30	**4.45**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.46	4.28	4.14	**4.29**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.66	4.58	4.58	**4.61**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.45	4.38	4.20	**4.34**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.37	4.46	4.37	**4.40**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.56	4.63	4.55	**4.58**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.38	4.33	4.22	**4.31**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.30	4.20	3.98	**4.16**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.33	3.91	4.28	**4.17**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.39	4.43	4.36	**4.39**
Average		**4.47**	**4.42**	**4.32**	**4.40**
No. of students giving feedback		**65**	**79**	**49**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Prof. A. M. Sawane**   Department: **Botany**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem V)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.41	4.37	4.73	4.70	**4.55**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.46	4.60	4.45	4.56	**4.52**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.55	4.24	4.58	4.70	**4.52**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.58	4.38	4.52	4.62	**4.53**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.30	4.18	4.25	4.36	**4.27**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.58	4.68	4.54	4.64	**4.61**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.37	4.29	4.33	4.44	**4.36**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.37	4.60	4.34	4.74	**4.51**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.55	4.58	4.54	4.62	**4.57**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.47	4.45	4.17	4.54	**4.41**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.28	4.30	4.30	4.44	**4.33**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.74	4.45	4.22	4.08	**4.12**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.42	4.57	4.37	4.64	**4.50**
Average		**4.39**	**4.44**	**4.41**	**4.54**	**4.45**
No. of students giving feedback		**76**	**60**	**42**	**50**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Ms. S. D. Khalatkar, Assistant Professor**   Department: **Botany**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem IV)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.16	4.69	4.58	4.80	**4.56**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.38	4.81	4.61	4.72	**4.63**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.20	4.82	4.62	4.78	**4.61**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.25	4.80	4.70	4.68	**4.61**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.25	4.66	4.47	4.66	**4.51**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.30	4.66	4.66	4.68	**4.58**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.29	4.75	4.49	4.50	**4.51**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.18	4.59	4.52	4.68	**4.49**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.16	4.83	4.62	4.74	**4.59**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.29	4.73	4.33	4.62	**4.49**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.29	4.63	4.22	4.46	**4.40**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.18	4.75	4.16	4.38	**4.37**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	3.69	4.78	4.49	4.78	**4.44**
Average		**4.20**	**4.73**	**4.50**	**4.65**	**4.52**
No. of students giving feedback		**54**	**59**	**79**	**50**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Prof. M. G. Dhonde, Head**   Department: **Chemistry**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem V)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.55	4.52	**4.54**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.39	4.56	**4.48**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.39	4.39	**4.39**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.37	4.53	**4.45**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.28	4.34	**4.31**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.38	4.58	**4.48**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.12	4.29	**4.21**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.26	4.33	**4.30**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.41	4.57	**4.49**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.10	4.33	**4.22**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.05	4.19	**4.12**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.56	3.73	**3.65**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.25	4.48	**4.37**
Average		**4.24**	**4.37**	**4.31**
No. of students giving feedback		**91**	**103**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Prof. R. R. Gupta**   Department: **Chemistry**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.35	4.44	**4.40**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.37	4.50	**4.44**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.17	4.28	**4.23**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.53	4.48	**4.51**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.18	4.28	**4.23**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.26	4.52	**4.39**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	3.81	4.18	**4.00**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	3.87	4.20	**4.04**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.23	4.47	**4.35**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.07	4.26	**4.17**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.04	4.09	**4.07**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.42	3.55	**3.49**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.15	4.40	**4.28**
Average		**4.11**	**4.28**	**4.20**
No. of students giving feedback		**37**	**110**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Prof. C. B. Talwatkar**   Department: **Chemistry**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem IV)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	3.70	4.16	3.93
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.14	4.53	4.34
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.01	4.63	4.32
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.14	4.68	4.41
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.14	4.42	4.28
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.22	4.45	4.34
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	3.99	4.55	4.27
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.03	4.39	4.21
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.10	4.63	4.37
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.04	4.42	4.23
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	3.87	4.45	4.16
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.34	3.63	3.49
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.06	4.47	4.27
Average		**3.98**	**4.42**	**4.20**
No. of students giving feedback		**83**	**38**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Mr. S. B. Agarmore**   Course: **B.Sc. Computer Science**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem V)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.38	4.18	4.05	4.41	**4.26**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.55	4.52	4.19	4.00	**4.32**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.70	4.61	4.38	4.18	**4.47**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.59	4.61	4.26	4.29	**4.44**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.45	4.23	3.88	4.12	**4.17**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.59	4.61	4.12	4.35	**4.42**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.59	4.34	4.19	4.24	**4.34**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.45	4.39	4.29	4.12	**4.31**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.59	4.55	4.29	4.53	**4.49**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.46	4.28	4.10	4.24	**4.27**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.29	4.04	3.88	4.00	**4.05**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.21	3.89	4.07	3.82	**4.00**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.50	3.90	4.10	4.06	**4.14**
Average		**4.49**	**4.32**	**4.14**	**4.18**	**4.28**
No. of students giving feedback		**56**	**71**	**42**	**17**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Mr. S. B. Agarmore**   Course: **BCA**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.08	**4.08**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.31	**4.31**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.19	**4.19**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.38	**4.38**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	3.88	**3.88**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.27	**4.27**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	3.58	**3.58**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	3.96	**3.96**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.54	**4.54**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.00	**4.00**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	3.77	**3.77**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.38	**4.38**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.00	**4.00**
Average		**4.10**	**4.10**
No. of students giving feedback		**26**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Mr. S. B. Agarmore**   Course: **B.Sc. IT**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.38	4.38
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.28	4.28
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.15	4.15
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.38	4.38
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.05	4.05
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.51	4.51
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.13	4.13
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.36	4.36
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.44	4.44
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.36	4.36
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.10	4.10
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.51	4.51
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.33	4.33
Average		**4.31**	**4.31**
No. of students giving feedback		**39**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Ms. V. Asatkar**   Course: **B.Sc. Computer Science**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem V)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.26	4.02	3.93	**4.07**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.27	3.96	4.03	**4.09**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.06	3.75	3.73	**3.85**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.22	3.90	3.23	**3.78**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.09	3.76	3.70	**3.85**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.18	4.08	4.17	**4.14**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	3.80	3.81	3.17	**3.59**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	3.93	3.76	3.87	**3.85**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.08	3.85	4.23	**4.05**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.02	3.88	3.97	**3.96**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.00	3.74	3.80	**3.85**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.71	3.53	3.23	**3.49**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	3.67	3.86	3.87	**3.80**
Average		**4.02**	**3.84**	**3.76**	**3.87**
No. of students giving feedback		**54**	**49**	**30**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Ms. V. Asatkar**   Course: **BCA**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	3.88	4.21	**4.05**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.12	4.28	**4.20**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	3.50	4.33	**3.92**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	3.65	4.47	**4.06**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	3.35	4.12	**3.74**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.15	4.30	**4.23**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	3.42	4.44	**3.93**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	3.54	4.16	**3.85**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.08	4.33	**4.21**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	3.85	4.37	**4.11**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	3.58	4.26	**3.92**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.35	3.63	**3.49**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	3.81	4.28	**4.05**
Average		**3.71**	**4.24**	**3.98**
No. of students giving feedback		**26**	**43**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Ms. V. Asatkar**   Course: **B.Sc. IT**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Average score
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	3.87	**3.87**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.03	**4.03**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	3.56	**3.56**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.00	**4.00**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	3.64	**3.64**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.08	**4.08**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	3.82	**3.82**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	3.74	**3.74**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	3.90	**3.90**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.10	**4.10**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	3.90	**3.90**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.44	**3.44**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	3.74	**3.74**
Average		**3.83**	**3.83**
No. of students giving feedback		**39**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Ms. Fatema**   Department: **Computer Science**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Average score
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	3.44	**3.44**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	3.90	**3.90**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	3.72	**3.72**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	3.90	**3.90**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	3.56	**3.56**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.10	**4.10**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	3.95	**3.95**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	3.85	**3.85**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	3.69	**3.69**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	3.97	**3.97**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	3.90	**3.90**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.77	**3.77**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	3.72	**3.72**
Average		**3.80**	**3.80**
No. of students giving feedback		**39**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Ms. B. Tijare**   Department: **Computer Science**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Average score
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.59	**4.59**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.23	**4.23**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.18	**4.18**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.36	**4.36**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	3.87	**3.87**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.31	**4.31**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.33	**4.33**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.21	**4.21**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.23	**4.23**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.36	**4.36**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.31	**4.31**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.92	**3.92**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.21	**4.21**
Average		**4.24**	**4.24**
No. of students giving feedback		**39**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Ms. R. Awasthi**   Department: **Computer Science**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem II)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.23	4.28	**4.26**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.12	4.21	**4.17**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.19	4.33	**4.26**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.38	4.46	**4.42**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.19	4.08	**4.14**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.42	4.33	**4.38**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.12	4.41	**4.27**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.15	4.31	**4.23**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.19	4.21	**4.20**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.27	4.33	**4.30**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.08	4.38	**4.23**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.85	3.97	**3.91**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.23	4.23	**4.23**
Average		**4.19**	**4.27**	**4.23**
No. of students giving feedback		**26**	**39**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Ms. C. Bhoyar**   Department: **Computer Science**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem II)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.19	4.54	**4.37**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.27	4.42	**4.35**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.33	4.27	**4.30**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.44	4.46	**4.45**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.25	4.08	**4.17**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.38	4.50	**4.44**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.24	4.04	**4.14**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.17	4.23	**4.20**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.34	4.58	**4.46**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.24	4.19	**4.22**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.04	3.92	**3.98**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.12	4.08	**4.10**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.26	4.04	**4.15**
Average		**4.25**	**4.26**	**4.26**
No. of students giving feedback		**54**	**26**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. S. Mankar**   Department: **Computer Science**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem II)	Average score
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.24	4.64	**4.44**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.45	4.62	**4.54**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.56	4.49	**4.53**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.62	4.64	**4.63**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.51	4.54	**4.53**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.69	4.59	**4.64**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.29	4.46	**4.38**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.40	4.54	**4.47**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.57	4.59	**4.58**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.46	4.62	**4.54**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.48	4.54	**4.51**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.23	3.92	**4.08**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.50	4.56	**4.53**
Average		**4.46**	**4.52**	**4.49**
No. of students giving feedback		**46**	**39**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Ms. H. Nandurkar**   Department: **Computer Science**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem II)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.37	3.89	**4.13**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.48	4.21	**4.35**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.48	4.30	**4.39**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.54	4.31	**4.43**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.37	3.89	**4.13**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.53	4.38	**4.46**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.46	4.15	**4.31**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.49	4.15	**4.32**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.44	4.39	**4.42**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.41	4.10	**4.26**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.21	3.89	**4.05**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.99	3.18	**3.59**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.32	4.07	**4.20**
Average		**4.39**	**4.07**	**4.23**
No. of students giving feedback		**80**	**71**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Ms. G. Waghulkar**   Department: **Computer Science**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem II)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	3.50	3.96	**3.73**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.00	3.96	**3.98**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	3.69	3.50	**3.60**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	3.77	3.69	**3.73**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	3.31	3.23	**3.27**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.00	4.08	**4.04**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	3.46	3.54	**3.50**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	3.69	3.54	**3.62**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	3.77	4.08	**3.93**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	3.62	3.73	**3.68**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	3.23	3.54	**3.39**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.77	3.42	**3.60**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	3.54	3.54	**3.54**
Average		**3.67**	**3.68**	**3.68**
No. of students giving feedback		**13**	**26**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Ms. V. Kakani**   Department: **Computer Science**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem V)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.17	4.25	4.63	**4.35**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.23	4.58	4.63	**4.48**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.10	4.69	4.63	**4.47**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.17	4.67	4.50	**4.45**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.10	4.53	4.25	**4.29**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.27	4.64	4.50	**4.47**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.15	4.58	4.25	**4.33**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.04	4.67	4.63	**4.45**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.08	4.67	4.50	**4.42**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.06	4.53	4.13	**4.24**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	3.77	4.42	4.25	**4.15**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.94	4.28	4.50	**4.24**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.04	4.56	4.38	**4.33**
Average		**4.09**	**4.54**	**4.44**	**4.36**
No. of students giving feedback		**52**	**36**	**08**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Ms. D. Dudhe**   Department: **Computer Science**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem IV)	Score (Sem V)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.33	4.36	4.75	3.00	**4.11**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.43	4.36	4.63	4.00	**4.36**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.23	4.29	4.75	4.00	**4.32**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.27	4.43	4.75	4.00	**4.36**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.23	4.07	4.25	4.00	**4.14**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.37	4.43	4.50	4.00	**4.33**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.17	4.57	4.38	4.00	**4.28**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.10	4.14	4.63	4.00	**4.22**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.37	4.29	4.63	4.00	**4.32**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.17	4.50	4.63	4.00	**4.33**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.00	4.21	4.38	4.00	**4.15**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.00	3.50	4.38	5.00	**4.22**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.00	4.07	4.38	4.00	**4.11**
Average		**4.21**	**4.25**	**4.54**	**4.00**	**4.25**
No. of students giving feedback		**30**	**14**	**08**	**01**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. N. D. Meshram, Head**   Department: **Electronics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem V)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	5.00	4.71	4.95	5.00	**4.92**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	5.00	4.71	4.90	5.00	**4.90**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.83	4.71	4.90	5.00	**4.86**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	5.00	5.00	4.75	5.00	**4.94**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.83	4.71	4.70	5.00	**4.81**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.00	4.86	4.75	5.00	**4.65**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.83	4.57	4.70	5.00	**4.78**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.33	4.57	4.75	5.00	**4.66**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.67	4.86	4.75	5.00	**4.82**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	5.00	4.57	4.70	5.00	**4.82**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.83	4.29	4.65	5.00	**4.69**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.00	4.43	4.95	5.00	**4.60**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.67	4.29	4.80	5.00	**4.69**
Average		4.69	4.64	4.79	5.00	**4.78**
No. of students giving feedback		06	07	20	01	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Mr. A. Kshirsagar**   Department: **Electronics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem V)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.14	4.94	**4.54**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.71	4.78	**4.75**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.57	4.67	**4.62**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.71	4.72	**4.72**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.57	4.61	**4.59**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.86	4.83	**4.85**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.57	4.67	**4.62**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.29	4.61	**4.45**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.57	4.61	**4.59**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.29	4.56	**4.43**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.14	4.50	**4.32**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.14	4.22	**4.18**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.57	4.67	**4.62**
Average		**4.47**	**4.65**	**4.56**
No. of students giving feedback		**07**	**18**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. S. P. Joshi, Head**   Department: **Geology**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem V)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.25	4.71	4.85	**4.60**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.14	4.62	4.71	**4.49**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.16	4.51	4.51	**4.39**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.33	4.62	4.64	**4.53**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.23	4.43	4.54	**4.40**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.11	4.59	4.71	**4.47**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.03	4.46	4.60	**4.36**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	3.94	4.50	4.67	**4.37**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.13	4.56	4.68	**4.46**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	3.90	4.51	4.62	**4.34**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	3.77	4.26	4.28	**4.10**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.58	4.32	4.12	**4.01**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	3.77	4.41	4.55	**4.24**
Average		**4.02**	**4.50**	**4.57**	**4.36**
No. of students giving feedback		**23**	**42**	**53**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Ms. S. Deshpande**   Department: **Geology**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem VI)	Average score
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.73	**4.73**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.66	**4.66**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.64	**4.64**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.73	**4.73**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.51	**4.51**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.64	**4.64**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.53	**4.53**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.42	**4.42**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.64	**4.64**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.53	**4.53**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.41	**4.41**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.97	**3.97**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.56	**4.56**
Average		**4.54**	**4.54**
No. of students giving feedback		**59**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. N. Taksande**   Department: **Geology**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem IV)	Average score
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.65	**4.65**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.24	**4.24**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.37	**4.37**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.39	**4.39**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.00	**4.00**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.37	**4.37**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.43	**4.43**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.27	**4.27**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.43	**4.43**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.10	**4.10**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.18	**4.18**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.90	**3.90**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.22	**4.22**
Average		**4.27**	**4.27**
No. of students giving feedback		**51**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Mr. N. Tijare** Department: **Geology**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Average score
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.47	**4.47**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.64	**4.64**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.56	**4.56**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.60	**4.60**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.16	**4.16**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.58	**4.58**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.49	**4.49**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.44	**4.44**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.67	**4.67**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.42	**4.42**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.38	**4.38**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.69	**3.69**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.49	**4.49**
Average		**4.43**	**4.43**
No. of students giving feedback		**45**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. J. A. Janbandhu, Head**   Subject: **Compulsory English**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem II)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.37	4.43	**4.40**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.14	4.60	**4.37**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.36	3.16	**3.76**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.45	3.15	**3.80**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.07	3.11	**3.59**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.22	3.18	**3.70**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	3.86	3.05	**3.46**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.00	3.10	**3.55**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.55	3.24	**3.90**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.29	3.04	**3.67**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	3.92	4.18	**4.05**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.43	3.67	**3.55**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.06	4.35	**4.21**
Average		**4.13**	**3.56**	**3.85**
No. of students giving feedback		**196**	**226**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Ms. N. Bhure**   Subject: **Marathi**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem II)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.30	4.47	**4.39**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.30	4.49	**4.40**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.13	4.36	**4.25**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.26	4.49	**4.38**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.23	4.42	**4.33**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.21	4.17	**4.19**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.29	4.32	**4.31**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	3.98	4.27	**4.13**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.34	4.48	**4.41**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.20	4.36	**4.28**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.06	3.16	**3.61**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.35	3.38	**3.37**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.24	4.35	**4.30**
Average		**4.14**	**4.21**	**4.18**
No. of students giving feedback		**109**	**88**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. J. A. Janbandhu, Head**   Subject: **Supplementary English**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Average score
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.86	**4.86**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.63	**4.63**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.60	**4.60**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.71	**4.71**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.51	**4.51**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.61	**4.61**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.37	**4.37**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.47	**4.47**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.67	**4.67**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.33	**4.33**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.17	**4.17**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.56	**3.56**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.44	**4.44**
Average		**4.46**	**4.46**
No. of students giving feedback		**70**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Ms. N. Khan**   Subject: **Compulsory English**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem II)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	3.99	4.38	**4.19**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.07	4.46	**4.27**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.18	4.15	**4.17**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.25	4.49	**4.37**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	3.92	4.23	**4.08**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.19	4.31	**4.25**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.00	4.26	**4.13**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.10	4.23	**4.17**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.17	4.31	**4.24**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	3.98	4.38	**4.18**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	3.93	4.28	**4.11**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.81	3.77	**3.79**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.01	4.31	**4.16**
Average		**4.04**	**4.27**	**4.16**
No. of students giving feedback		**78**	**39**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Ms. N. Khan**   Subject: **Supplementary English**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem II)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.41	4.50	**4.46**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.47	4.50	**4.49**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.47	4.50	**4.49**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.66	4.30	**4.48**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.32	4.30	**4.31**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.59	4.50	**4.55**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.37	4.40	**4.39**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.39	4.30	**4.35**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.66	4.40	**4.53**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.54	4.30	**4.42**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.59	4.40	**4.50**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.25	3.20	**3.73**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.53	4.40	**4.47**
Average		**4.48**	**4.31**	**4.40**
No. of students giving feedback		**08**	**10**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Mr. S. T. Rathod, Head**   Department: **Mathematics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem IV)	Score (Sem V)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.36	4.12	4.28	4.26	4.72	4.80	**4.42**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.39	4.30	4.19	4.44	4.59	4.60	**4.42**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.24	4.12	4.08	4.44	4.63	4.27	**4.30**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.23	4.19	4.25	4.63	4.59	4.53	**4.40**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.35	4.04	3.92	4.26	4.48	4.37	**4.24**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.28	4.36	4.37	4.49	4.47	4.53	**4.42**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.07	3.92	3.88	4.63	4.42	4.27	**4.20**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.27	1.28	3.95	4.33	4.72	4.30	**3.81**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.37	4.21	4.15	4.47	4.44	4.67	**4.39**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.29	3.94	3.94	4.47	4.35	4.40	**4.23**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.06	3.86	3.81	4.40	4.24	4.20	**4.10**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.45	2.85	3.40	3.74	3.86	3.33	**3.44**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.41	4.16	4.18	4.42	4.44	4.40	**4.34**
Average		**4.21**	**3.80**	**4.03**	**4.38**	**4.46**	**4.36**	**4.21**
No. of students giving feedback		**72**	**102**	**57**	**43**	**54**	**30**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Ms. M. Kullarkar**   Department: **Mathematics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem IV)	Average score
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.16	**4.16**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.26	**4.26**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.30	**4.30**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.47	**4.47**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.07	**4.07**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.35	**4.35**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.42	**4.42**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.16	**4.16**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.35	**4.35**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.37	**4.37**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.30	**4.30**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.67	**3.67**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.23	**4.23**
Average		**4.24**	**4.24**
No. of students giving feedback		**43**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Ms. N. Bajirao**   Department: **Microbiology**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem II)	**Average score**
14.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.56	4.83	**4.70**
15.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.52	4.50	**4.51**
16.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.28	4.52	**4.40**
17.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.48	4.74	**4.61**
18.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.04	4.24	**4.14**
19.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.40	4.69	**4.55**
20.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.24	4.52	**4.38**
21.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.28	4.31	**4.30**
22.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.44	4.60	**4.52**
23.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.08	4.38	**4.23**
24.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.20	4.52	**4.36**
25.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.08	3.69	**3.39**
26.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.12	4.26	**4.19**
Average		**4.21**	**4.45**	**4.33**
No. of students giving feedback		**25**	**42**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. M. S. Atone, Associate Professor**   Department: **Physics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem IV)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.19	3.81	4.33	4.36	**4.17**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.05	4.19	4.12	4.36	**4.18**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	3.83	3.84	3.89	4.36	**3.98**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.14	4.10	3.92	4.36	**4.13**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	3.85	3.78	3.79	4.14	**3.89**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.33	4.24	4.27	4.36	**4.30**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.00	3.87	3.75	4.57	**4.05**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	3.93	3.87	3.60	4.14	**3.89**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	3.90	4.11	4.02	4.29	**4.08**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.11	3.98	3.57	4.43	**4.02**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	3.93	3.76	3.59	4.29	**3.89**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.59	2.87	3.52	3.71	**3.42**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	3.59	3.96	3.90	4.14	**3.90**
Average		**3.96**	**3.87**	**3.86**	**4.27**	**3.99**
No. of students giving feedback		**66**	**91**	**36**	**14**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Prof. J. N. Ramteke, Head**   Department: **Physics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem IV)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.08	3.79	4.74	4.24	**4.21**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.61	4.44	4.58	4.35	**4.50**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.45	4.19	4.27	4.47	**4.35**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.56	4.21	4.84	2.18	**3.95**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.27	3.91	4.68	4.18	**4.26**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.50	4.34	4.41	4.00	**4.31**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.12	4.04	4.16	4.35	**4.17**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.19	3.95	4.07	4.00	**4.05**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.45	4.37	4.34	4.47	**4.41**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.17	4.03	4.37	4.18	**4.19**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	3.92	3.92	4.48	4.06	**4.10**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.44	2.89	3.56	3.18	**3.27**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.18	4.14	4.39	4.35	**4.27**
Average		**4.22**	**4.02**	**4.37**	**4.00**	**4.15**
No. of students giving feedback		**67**	**91**	**14**	**17**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. V. V. Soman, Associate Professor**   Department: **Physics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem V)	Score (Sem VI)	Average score
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.56	4.64	**4.60**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.58	4.57	**4.58**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.51	4.36	**4.44**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.53	4.68	**4.61**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.35	4.39	**4.37**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.55	4.68	**4.62**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.33	4.25	**4.29**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.30	4.36	**4.33**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.49	4.71	**4.60**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.37	4.54	**4.46**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.33	4.29	**4.31**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.15	4.21	**4.18**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.35	4.54	**4.45**
Average		**4.41**	**4.48**	**4.45**
No. of students giving feedback		**62**	**28**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Prof. D. V. Nandanwar**　　　　　　Department: **Physics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem IV)	Score (Sem V)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	3.88	4.36	4.46	**4.23**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.29	4.43	4.61	**4.44**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.35	4.35	4.25	**4.32**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.24	4.42	4.54	**4.40**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.24	4.27	4.32	**4.28**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.00	4.42	4.68	**4.37**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.47	4.34	4.14	**4.32**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	3.88	4.21	4.21	**4.10**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.35	4.46	4.57	**4.46**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.12	4.27	4.43	**4.27**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.00	4.18	4.21	**4.13**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.00	3.97	3.43	**3.47**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.29	4.34	4.46	**4.36**
Average		**4.09**	**4.31**	**4.33**	**4.24**
No. of students giving feedback		**17**	**60**	**28**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. S. G. Goswami, Associate Professor**   Department: **Physics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem IV)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.40	3.92	4.57	4.35	**4.31**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.10	4.58	4.26	4.35	**4.32**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.10	3.92	3.85	4.29	**4.04**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.40	4.08	4.16	4.24	**4.22**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.10	4.17	4.16	4.00	**4.11**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.20	4.33	4.41	3.82	**4.19**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.00	4.17	3.98	4.53	**4.17**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	3.80	4.17	3.94	4.00	**3.98**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.50	4.50	4.51	4.35	**4.47**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.20	3.83	4.29	4.06	**4.10**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	3.90	4.00	4.25	4.00	**4.04**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.40	3.17	3.30	2.94	**3.20**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	3.90	4.42	4.21	4.35	**4.22**
Average		**4.08**	**4.10**	**4.14**	**4.10**	**4.11**
No. of students giving feedback		**10**	**12**	**14**	**17**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. A. Ghosh**   Department: **Physics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem IV)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.00	3.67	4.06	3.71	**3.86**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.11	4.18	3.86	3.82	**3.99**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.00	3.98	3.83	4.06	**3.97**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.18	4.10	3.82	4.06	**4.04**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	3.95	3.74	3.22	3.76	**3.67**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.21	4.25	4.00	3.88	**4.09**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.00	3.96	3.93	4.35	**4.06**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	3.89	3.91	3.65	3.82	**3.82**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	3.89	4.13	3.97	4.29	**4.07**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.04	3.92	4.10	4.00	**4.02**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	3.77	3.18	3.59	4.06	**3.65**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.46	2.80	2.72	2.88	**2.97**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.05	3.93	3.70	4.00	**3.92**
Average		**3.97**	**3.83**	**3.72**	**3.90**	**3.85**
No. of students giving feedback		**57**	**91**	**12**	**17**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. U. R. Sonparote, Head**   Department: **Zoology**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem IV)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.08	4.26	4.45	**4.73**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.36	4.36	4.42	**4.58**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.25	4.27	4.42	**4.65**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.38	4.19	4.63	**4.71**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.10	4.06	4.22	**4.46**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.32	4.28	4.58	**4.54**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	3.92	3.85	4.38	**4.04**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	3.91	4.16	4.48	**4.46**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.07	4.30	4.47	**4.73**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.15	3.93	4.36	**4.25**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.05	4.04	4.08	**4.35**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.88	4.44	4.36	**4.56**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.27	4.15	4.30	**4.58**
Average		**4.13**	**4.18**	**4.40**	**4.51**
No. of students giving feedback		**59**	**26**	**64**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Prof. P. U. Gajbe**   Department: **Zoology**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem IV)	Score (Sem V)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.37	4.70	4.62	**4.56**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.45	4.45	4.57	**4.49**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.18	4.42	4.21	**4.27**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.40	4.41	4.06	**4.29**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.43	4.17	4.11	**4.24**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.33	4.52	4.45	**4.43**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	3.92	4.25	4.09	**4.09**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.12	4.27	4.02	**4.14**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.32	4.38	4.21	**4.30**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.33	4.28	3.90	**4.17**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.17	4.03	4.00	**4.07**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.97	4.34	4.26	**4.19**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.38	4.39	4.33	**4.37**
Average		**4.26**	**4.35**	**4.21**	**4.27**
No. of students giving feedback		**58**	**64**	**26**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. R. S. Saha, Assistant Professor**   Department: **Zoology**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem V)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.30	4.63	4.40	**4.44**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.54	4.42	4.43	**4.46**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.49	4.44	4.43	**4.45**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.66	4.32	4.38	**4.45**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.31	4.05	4.00	**4.12**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.62	4.30	4.50	**4.47**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.17	3.93	4.07	**4.06**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.42	4.40	4.38	**4.40**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.76	4.16	4.52	**4.48**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.27	4.23	4.29	**4.26**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.20	4.06	4.17	**4.14**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.72	4.46	4.40	**4.19**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.41	4.11	4.40	**4.31**
Average		**4.37**	**4.27**	**4.34**	**4.33**
No. of students giving feedback		**71**	**28**	**42**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. N. V. Palande, Assistant Professor**   Department: **Zoology**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.11	4.39	4.33	**4.28**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.27	4.41	4.57	**4.42**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.10	4.16	4.62	**4.29**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.32	4.11	4.50	**4.31**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.04	3.92	4.19	**4.05**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.51	4.30	4.76	**4.52**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	3.90	4.21	4.10	**4.07**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	3.99	4.25	4.43	**4.22**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.44	4.23	4.38	**4.35**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.10	4.00	4.24	**4.11**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	3.87	4.03	4.10	**4.00**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.06	4.26	4.62	**4.31**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.20	4.27	4.40	**4.29**
Average		**4.15**	**4.19**	**4.40**	**4.25**
No. of students giving feedback		**71**	**60**	**42**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. M. P. Meshram**   Department: **Botany**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem IV)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.07	3.95	4.64	4.00	**4.17**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.29	4.00	4.73	4.00	**4.26**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.50	4.29	4.82	4.17	**4.45**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.36	4.29	4.82	4.00	**4.37**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.00	3.48	4.36	3.33	**3.79**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.29	3.95	4.82	4.17	**4.31**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.57	4.33	4.82	3.83	**4.39**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.36	4.29	4.82	4.50	**4.49**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.43	4.29	4.82	4.33	**4.47**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.29	4.00	4.82	3.83	**4.24**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.36	4.14	4.82	4.17	**4.37**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.29	4.05	4.64	3.83	**4.20**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.00	3.86	4.82	3.83	**4.13**
Average		**4.29**	**4.07**	**4.75**	**4.00**	**4.28**
No. of students giving feedback		**14**	**21**	**11**	**06**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. A. Bodhane**   Department: **Chemistry**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem IV)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.14	4.19	3.89	3.33	**3.89**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.71	4.47	4.44	3.00	**4.16**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.57	4.50	4.89	3.17	**4.28**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.86	4.78	4.78	3.33	**4.44**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.86	4.28	4.33	2.67	**4.04**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.86	4.50	4.78	3.00	**4.29**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.57	4.50	4.89	3.17	**4.28**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.71	4.67	4.44	2.83	**4.16**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.71	4.58	4.78	3.17	**4.31**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.71	4.33	4.78	3.00	**4.21**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.71	4.22	4.78	3.17	**4.22**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.86	3.44	3.89	2.17	**3.34**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.71	4.47	4.56	2.83	**4.14**
Average		**4.62**	**4.38**	**4.56**	**2.99**	**4.14**
No. of students giving feedback		**07**	**36**	**09**	**06**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Mr. M. Katiya**   Department: **Chemistry**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem IV)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	3.29	4.06	3.78	4.50	**3.91**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.29	4.22	4.44	4.50	**4.36**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.57	4.03	4.89	4.67	**4.54**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.43	4.28	4.67	4.83	**4.55**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.14	4.00	4.11	4.17	**4.11**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.43	4.33	4.89	4.67	**4.58**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.86	4.47	4.67	4.83	**4.71**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.14	3.97	4.33	4.33	**4.19**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.43	4.56	4.78	4.67	**4.61**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.14	4.22	4.78	4.50	**4.41**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.00	4.08	4.78	4.83	**4.42**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.43	2.94	3.22	3.33	**3.23**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.00	4.14	4.44	4.17	**4.19**
Average		**4.16**	**4.10**	**4.44**	**4.46**	**4.29**
No. of students giving feedback		**07**	**36**	**09**	**06**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Ms. R. Awasthi**　　　　　Department: **Computer Science**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem II)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.43	4.78	**4.61**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.48	4.67	**4.58**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.65	4.89	**4.77**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.65	4.94	**4.80**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.28	4.44	**4.36**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.50	4.56	**4.53**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.28	4.78	**4.53**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.25	4.78	**4.52**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.58	4.94	**4.76**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.40	4.67	**4.54**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.25	4.56	**4.41**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.23	4.22	**4.23**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.28	4.50	**4.39**
Average		**4.40**	**4.67**	**4.54**
No. of students giving feedback		**18**	**18**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. S. Mankar** Department: **Computer Science**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem II)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.89	4.89	**4.89**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.50	4.89	**4.70**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.78	4.83	**4.81**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.94	5.00	**4.97**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.83	4.89	**4.86**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.89	4.78	**4.84**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.72	4.89	**4.81**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.72	4.78	**4.75**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.94	4.89	**4.92**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.72	4.78	**4.75**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.61	4.72	**4.67**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.61	4.44	**4.53**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.72	4.78	**4.75**
Average		**4.76**	**4.81**	**4.79**
No. of students giving feedback		**18**	**18**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Ms. C. Bhoyar**   Department: **Computer Science**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Average score
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.78	**4.78**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.78	**4.78**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.67	**4.67**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.72	**4.72**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.67	**4.67**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.61	**4.61**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.78	**4.78**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.44	**4.44**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.89	**4.89**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.67	**4.67**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.50	**4.50**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.06	**4.06**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.67	**4.67**
Average		**4.63**	**4.63**
No. of students giving feedback		**18**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Ms. M. Sadhankar**   Department: **Computer Science**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.22	**4.22**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.28	**4.28**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.28	**4.28**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.22	**4.22**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	3.67	**3.67**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.44	**4.44**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.61	**4.61**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.28	**4.28**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.56	**4.56**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.33	**4.33**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.28	**4.28**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.67	**3.67**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.22	**4.22**
Average		**4.24**	**4.24**
No. of students giving feedback		**18**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Mr. P. Nimje**   Department: **Mathematics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem IV)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.22	4.95	4.16	4.43	**4.44**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.46	4.68	4.53	4.43	**4.53**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.66	4.80	4.42	4.43	**4.58**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.66	4.77	4.63	4.86	**4.73**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.46	4.66	4.00	4.29	**4.35**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.76	4.82	4.42	4.57	**4.64**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.61	4.80	4.32	4.57	**4.58**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.46	4.64	4.26	4.43	**4.45**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.78	4.86	4.26	4.57	**4.62**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.56	4.57	4.16	4.29	**4.40**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.59	4.50	3.89	4.14	**4.28**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.90	3.82	3.16	3.86	**3.69**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.44	4.75	4.26	4.57	**4.51**
Average		**4.50**	**4.66**	**4.19**	**4.42**	**4.44**
No. of students giving feedback		**22**	**44**	**19**	**07**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Ms. V. Asatkar**   Department: **Mathematics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.16	4.11	**4.14**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.42	4.53	**4.48**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.65	4.37	**4.51**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.58	4.58	**4.58**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.52	4.00	**4.26**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.52	4.47	**4.50**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.48	4.32	**4.40**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.65	4.21	**4.43**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.61	4.26	**4.44**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.35	4.11	**4.23**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.42	3.84	**4.13**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.58	3.21	**3.40**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.55	4.32	**4.44**
Average		**4.42**	**4.18**	**4.30**
No. of students giving feedback		**22**	**19**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Ms. M. Bhandekar**   Department: **Physics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Average score
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.67	**4.67**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.17	**4.17**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.00	**4.00**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.17	**4.17**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.00	**4.00**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.67	**4.67**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.50	**4.50**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.17	**4.17**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.17	**4.17**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	3.50	**3.50**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	3.83	**3.83**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.17	**3.17**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.17	**4.17**
Average		**4.09**	**4.09**
No. of students giving feedback		**06**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. A. Ghosh**   Department: **Physics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem II)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.83	4.45	**4.64**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.67	4.64	**4.66**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.33	4.64	**4.49**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.33	4.73	**4.53**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.50	4.18	**4.34**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.67	4.68	**4.68**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.33	4.86	**4.60**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.67	4.41	**4.54**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.67	4.68	**4.68**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.17	4.64	**4.41**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.17	4.41	**4.29**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.33	3.45	**3.89**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.67	4.59	**4.63**
Average		**4.49**	**4.49**	**4.49**
No. of students giving feedback		**06**	**22**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. V. Badiye**   Department: **Zoology**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem IV)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.55	**4.64**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.50	4.88	4.50	4.45	**4.58**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	5.00	4.94	4.50	4.82	**4.82**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	5.00	4.94	4.72	4.45	**4.78**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	5.00	4.81	4.44	4.27	**4.63**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	5.00	4.88	4.50	4.55	**4.73**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	5.00	4.94	4.56	4.82	**4.83**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	5.00	4.88	4.50	4.36	**4.69**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	5.00	5.00	4.61	4.55	**4.79**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	5.00	4.81	4.50	4.55	**4.72**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.50	4.81	4.61	4.55	**4.62**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	5.00	4.88	4.78	4.82	**4.87**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.50	4.69	4.44	4.45	**4.52**
Average		**4.85**	**4.88**	**4.55**	**4.55**	**4.71**
No. of students giving feedback		**02**	**16**	**18**	**11**	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2021-22

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. N. Luharia**   Department: **Zoology**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem IV)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.67	4.94	4.06	4.27	**4.49**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.67	4.88	4.22	4.36	**4.53**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	5.00	4.94	4.11	4.45	**4.63**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	5.00	4.94	4.33	4.36	**4.66**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.00	4.81	3.94	4.00	**4.19**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	5.00	4.94	4.28	4.45	**4.67**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	5.00	4.81	3.94	4.55	**4.58**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	5.00	4.88	4.00	4.18	**4.52**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	5.00	4.94	4.44	4.45	**4.71**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	5.00	4.75	4.11	4.36	**4.56**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.67	4.81	4.22	4.45	**4.54**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	5.00	4.88	4.39	4.82	**4.77**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.67	4.69	4.00	4.09	**4.36**
Average		**4.82**	**4.86**	**4.16**	**4.37**	**4.55**
No. of students giving feedback		**03**	**16**	**18**	**11**	

Principal
S.M.M. College of Science
Nagpur