FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS BY STUDENTS (UG & PG)

2020-21

SHRI MATHURADAS MOHOTA COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, NAGPUR

Contents

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Shri Mathuradas Mohota College of Science, Nagpur

QUESTION-WISE FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS
(BY STUDENTS OF B.Sc. SEMESTER I, III & V)

Sr. No.	Department	Name of Teacher	Page No.
1.	Botany	Dr. A. A. Fulzele	5
2.		Dr. S. K. Giri	6
3.		Dr. A. M. Sawane	7
4.		Ms. S. D. Khalatkar	8
5.	Chemistry	Dr. M. G. Dhonde	9
6.		Dr. R. R. Gupta	10
7.		Dr. C. B. Talwatkar	11
8.		Mr. S. U. Patle	12
9.		Dr. M. Jamdarkar	13
10.	Computer Science	Mr. S. B. Agarmore	14
11.		Ms. S. Mendhe	15
12.	Electronics	Dr. N. D. Meshram	16
13.		Dr. P. J. Yadav	17
14.		Mr. A. Kshirsagar	18
15.	Geology	Dr. S. P. Joshi	19
16.		Ms. S. Jawadand	20
17.	Industrial Chemistry	Mr. S. U. Patle	21
18.		Mr. M. Katiya	22
19.	Languages	Dr. J. A. Janbandhu (Compulsory English)	23
20.		Dr. G. Kadam	24
21.		Mr. D. Kotangale	25
22.		Dr. J. A. Janbandhu (Supplementary English)	26
23.	Mathematics	Mr. S. T. Rathod	27
24.		Mr. P. Nimje	28
25.		Mrs. M. Kullarkar	29
26.		Ms. V. Asatkar	30
27.	Physics	Dr. M. S. Atone	31
28.		Dr. J. N. Ramteke	32

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Sr. No.	Department	Name of Teacher	Page No.
29.		Dr. V. V. Soman	33
30.		Dr. D. V. Nandanwar	34
31.		Dr. S. G. Goswami	35
32.		Dr. U. R. Sonparote	36
33.	Zoology	Dr. P. U. Gajbe	37
34.		Dr. R. S. Saha	38
35.		Dr. N. V. Palande	39

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. A. A. Fulzele, Head**   Department: **Botany**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem V)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.78	4.67	NA	**4.72**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.76	4.53	NA	**4.64**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.82	4.63	NA	**4.72**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.86	4.68	NA	**4.77**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.66	4.37	NA	**4.52**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.79	4.65	NA	**4.72**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.49	4.25	NA	**4.37**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.65	4.51	NA	**4.58**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.85	4.81	NA	**4.83**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.68	4.44	NA	**4.56**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.45	4.35	NA	**4.40**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.74	4.26	NA	**4.50**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.70	4.61	NA	**4.65**
Average		**4.71**	**4.52**	**NA**	**4.61**
No. of students giving feedback		**92**	**57**	**NA**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. S. K. Giri, Associate Professor**   Department: **Botany**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem V)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	NA	NA	4.46	**4.46**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	NA	NA	4.39	**4.39**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	NA	NA	4.31	**4.31**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	NA	NA	4.48	**4.48**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	NA	NA	4.24	**4.24**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	NA	NA	4.59	**4.59**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	NA	NA	4.15	**4.15**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	NA	NA	4.13	**4.13**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	NA	NA	4.63	**4.63**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	NA	NA	4.14	**4.14**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	NA	NA	4.08	**4.08**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	NA	NA	4.38	**4.38**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	NA	NA	4.31	**4.31**
Average		**NA**	**NA**	**4.33**	**4.33**
No. of students giving feedback		**NA**	**NA**	**71**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. A. M. Sawane, Associate Professor**   Department: **Botany**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem V)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.70	NA	4.68	**4.69**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.57	NA	4.66	**4.61**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.72	NA	4.82	**4.77**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.72	NA	4.79	**4.75**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.34	NA	4.59	**4.46**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.67	NA	4.65	**4.66**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.33	NA	4.44	**4.38**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.53	NA	4.55	**4.54**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.72	NA	4.82	**4.77**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.57	NA	4.51	**4.54**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.32	NA	4.37	**4.34**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.65	NA	4.48	**4.57**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.55	NA	4.51	**4.53**
Average		**4.57**	**NA**	**4.60**	**4.59**
No. of students giving feedback		**92**	**NA**	**71**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Ms. S. D. Khalatkar, Assistant Professor** Department: **Botany**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem V)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	NA	4.82	NA	**4.82**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	NA	4.77	NA	**4.77**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	NA	4.77	NA	**4.77**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	NA	4.82	NA	**4.82**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	NA	4.72	NA	**4.72**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	NA	4.68	NA	**4.68**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	NA	4.63	NA	**4.63**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	NA	4.72	NA	**4.72**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	NA	4.86	NA	**4.86**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	NA	4.67	NA	**4.67**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	NA	4.79	NA	**4.79**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	NA	4.37	NA	**4.37**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	NA	4.72	NA	**4.72**
Average		**NA**	**4.72**	**NA**	**4.72**
No. of students giving feedback		**NA**	**57**	**NA**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. M. G. Dhonde, Professor & Head**   Department: **Chemistry**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem V)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	NA	4.33	4.40	**4.36**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	NA	4.36	4.55	**4.45**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	NA	4.27	4.46	**4.36**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	NA	4.38	4.60	**4.49**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	NA	4.13	4.24	**4.18**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	NA	4.44	4.53	**4.49**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	NA	4.38	4.19	**4.28**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	NA	4.44	4.26	**4.35**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	NA	4.50	4.71	**4.61**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	NA	4.30	4.13	**4.21**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	NA	4.11	4.10	**4.11**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	NA	4.33	4.16	**4.25**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	NA	4.31	4.37	**4.34**
Average		**NA**	**4.33**	**4.36**	**4.34**
No. of students giving feedback		**NA**	**65**	**197**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. R. R. Gupta, Associate Professor**   Department: **Chemistry**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem V)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.60	4.45	4.39	**4.48**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.54	4.53	4.63	**4.57**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.49	4.11	4.39	**4.33**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.74	4.59	4.68	**4.67**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.38	4.34	4.40	**4.37**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.66	4.50	4.60	**4.59**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.26	4.33	4.23	**4.27**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.31	4.28	4.28	**4.29**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.74	4.55	4.75	**4.68**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.56	4.39	4.20	**4.38**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.34	4.34	4.16	**4.28**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.30	4.33	4.07	**4.23**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.52	4.48	4.48	**4.50**
Average		**4.50**	**4.40**	**4.40**	**4.43**
No. of students giving feedback		**101**	**65**	**197**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. C. B. Talwatkar, Associate Professor**   Department: **Chemistry**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem V)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	3.63	3.92	NA	**3.78**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.01	3.75	NA	**3.88**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	3.92	3.60	NA	**3.76**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.13	3.77	NA	**3.95**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	3.80	3.24	NA	**3.52**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.41	4.49	NA	**4.45**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	3.44	3.51	NA	**3.47**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	3.85	3.67	NA	**3.76**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.15	3.64	NA	**3.90**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	3.80	3.64	NA	**3.72**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	3.63	3.57	NA	**3.60**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.34	3.37	NA	**3.36**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	3.87	3.68	NA	**3.78**
Average		**3.85**	**3.68**	**NA**	**3.76**
No. of students giving feedback		**71**	**75**	**NA**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Mr. S. U. Patle**   Department: **Chemistry**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem V)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.70	NA	4.26	**4.48**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.64	NA	4.38	**4.51**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.60	NA	4.13	**4.36**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.80	NA	4.45	**4.63**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.60	NA	4.09	**4.35**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.69	NA	4.37	**4.53**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.27	NA	4.14	**4.20**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.30	NA	4.11	**4.21**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.84	NA	4.52	**4.68**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.48	NA	4.05	**4.26**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.37	NA	3.98	**4.18**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.44	NA	4.01	**4.22**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.59	NA	4.24	**4.41**
Average		**4.56**	**NA**	**4.21**	**4.39**
No. of students giving feedback		**174**	**NA**	**197**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. M. Jamdarkar**   Department: **Chemistry**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem V)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	NA	4.00	NA	**4.00**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	NA	4.04	NA	**4.04**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	NA	3.59	NA	**3.59**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	NA	3.93	NA	**3.93**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	NA	3.61	NA	**3.61**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	NA	4.48	NA	**4.48**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	NA	3.55	NA	**3.55**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	NA	3.65	NA	**3.65**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	NA	3.99	NA	**3.99**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	NA	3.77	NA	**3.77**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	NA	3.72	NA	**3.72**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	NA	3.93	NA	**3.93**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	NA	3.87	NA	**3.87**
Average		**NA**	**3.86**	**NA**	**3.86**
No. of students giving feedback		**NA**	**75**	**NA**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Mr. S. B. Agarmore**   Department: **Computer Science**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem V)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.81	4.36	4.22	**4.46**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.52	4.14	4.44	**4.37**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.87	4.50	4.33	**4.57**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.90	4.57	4.56	**4.68**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.77	4.14	4.19	**4.37**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.77	4.50	4.44	**4.57**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.58	4.07	4.15	**4.27**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.81	4.43	4.41	**4.55**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.94	4.57	4.63	**4.71**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.71	4.64	4.19	**4.51**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.58	4.21	4.00	**4.26**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.97	4.43	4.70	**4.70**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.68	4.50	4.41	**4.53**
Average		**4.76**	**4.39**	**4.36**	**4.50**
No. of students giving feedback		**31**	**14**	**27**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Ms. S. Mendhe**   Department: **Computer Science**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem V)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	NA	4.14	3.81	**3.98**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	NA	4.00	3.63	**3.81**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	NA	3.57	3.44	**3.51**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	NA	3.86	3.81	**3.84**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	NA	3.36	3.44	**3.40**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	NA	4.21	3.93	**4.07**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	NA	4.00	3.74	**3.87**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	NA	3.64	3.63	**3.64**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	NA	4.36	3.89	**4.12**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	NA	4.00	3.52	**3.76**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	NA	3.64	3.48	**3.56**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	NA	4.43	4.26	**4.34**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	NA	4.14	3.74	**3.94**
Average		**NA**	**3.95**	**3.72**	**3.83**
No. of students giving feedback		**NA**	**14**	**27**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. N. D. Meshram, Head**   Department: **Electronics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem V)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.50	4.92	4.20	**4.54**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	5.00	4.77	4.33	**4.70**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	5.00	4.77	4.20	**4.66**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	5.00	4.69	4.47	**4.72**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.88	4.77	4.33	**4.66**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	5.00	4.54	4.27	**4.60**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.88	4.46	4.60	**4.65**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.88	4.69	4.60	**4.72**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	5.00	4.77	4.60	**4.79**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.88	4.92	4.33	**4.71**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.50	4.62	4.27	**4.46**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	5.00	5.00	4.53	**4.84**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	5.00	4.62	4.67	**4.76**
Average		**4.88**	**4.73**	**4.42**	**4.68**
No. of students giving feedback		**09**	**13**	**15**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. P. J. Yadav**   Department: **Electronics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem V)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	3.25	4.62	3.60	**3.82**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.25	4.62	3.93	**4.27**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	3.50	4.31	3.93	**3.91**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.50	4.62	4.00	**4.37**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.38	4.38	3.80	**4.19**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.50	4.62	3.67	**4.26**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	3.50	4.23	3.73	**3.82**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.00	4.31	3.93	**4.08**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.13	4.38	4.13	**4.21**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	3.63	4.54	3.80	**3.99**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.13	4.38	3.87	**4.13**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.63	4.77	3.80	**4.06**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.38	4.54	3.73	**4.22**
Average		**3.98**	**4.49**	**3.84**	**4.10**
No. of students giving feedback		**09**	**13**	**15**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Mr. A. Kshirsagar**   Department: **Electronics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem V)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	NA	4.46	4.07	**4.26**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	NA	4.54	4.27	**4.40**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	NA	4.23	4.33	**4.28**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	NA	4.46	4.20	**4.33**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	NA	4.23	4.00	**4.12**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	NA	4.54	4.40	**4.47**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	NA	4.31	4.20	**4.25**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	NA	4.15	3.93	**4.04**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	NA	4.31	4.33	**4.32**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	NA	4.46	3.87	**4.16**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	NA	4.23	4.00	**4.12**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	NA	4.54	3.67	**4.10**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	NA	4.38	4.07	**4.23**
Average		**NA**	**4.37**	**4.10**	**4.24**
No. of students giving feedback		**NA**	**13**	**15**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. S. P. Joshi, Head**   Department: **Geology**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem V)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.94	4.80	4.72	**4.82**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.75	4.74	4.87	**4.79**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.83	4.54	4.72	**4.70**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.96	4.78	4.87	**4.87**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.87	4.58	4.77	**4.74**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.89	4.71	4.82	**4.81**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.60	4.51	4.37	**4.49**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.64	4.36	4.39	**4.47**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.91	4.65	4.90	**4.82**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.51	4.58	4.42	**4.50**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.60	4.48	4.38	**4.49**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.36	4.49	4.28	**4.38**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.81	4.67	4.72	**4.73**
Average		**4.74**	**4.61**	**4.63**	**4.66**
No. of students giving feedback		**53**	**70**	**79**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Ms. S. A. Jawadand**   Department: **Geology**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem V)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.89	4.57	4.59	**4.68**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.60	4.28	4.75	**4.54**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.64	4.26	4.48	**4.46**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.79	4.54	4.71	**4.68**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.57	4.16	4.46	**4.39**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.64	4.55	4.77	**4.65**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.38	4.25	4.24	**4.29**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.55	4.14	4.33	**4.34**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.75	4.54	4.82	**4.70**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.42	4.20	4.34	**4.32**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.47	4.30	4.22	**4.33**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.70	4.55	4.20	**4.48**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.58	4.35	4.53	**4.49**
Average		**4.61**	**4.36**	**4.50**	**4.49**
No. of students giving feedback		**53**	**70**	**79**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Mr. S. U. Patle**   Subject: **Industrial Chemistry**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem V)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.63	NA	NA	**4.63**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.74	NA	NA	**4.74**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.79	NA	NA	**4.79**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.74	NA	NA	**4.74**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.05	NA	NA	**4.05**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.58	NA	NA	**4.58**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.68	NA	NA	**4.68**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.42	NA	NA	**4.42**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.79	NA	NA	**4.79**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.58	NA	NA	**4.58**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.47	NA	NA	**4.47**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.47	NA	NA	**4.47**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.58	NA	NA	**4.58**
Average		**4.58**	**NA**	**NA**	**4.58**
No. of students giving feedback		**19**	**NA**	**NA**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Mr. M. Katiya**   Subject: **Industrial Chemistry**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem V)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.53	4.91	4.24	**4.56**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.63	4.82	4.62	**4.69**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.47	4.91	4.65	**4.68**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.58	4.91	4.71	**4.73**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.37	4.82	4.53	**4.57**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.37	4.91	4.59	**4.62**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.32	4.82	4.53	**4.55**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.26	4.82	4.47	**4.52**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.58	4.82	4.79	**4.73**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.58	4.91	4.56	**4.68**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.53	4.73	4.47	**4.57**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.26	4.36	4.29	**4.31**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.47	4.82	4.47	**4.59**
Average		**4.46**	**4.81**	**4.53**	**4.60**
No. of students giving feedback		**19**	**11**	**34**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. J. A. Janbandhu, Head**   Subject: **Compulsory English**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem V)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.84	NA	NA	**4.84**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.68	NA	NA	**4.68**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.74	NA	NA	**4.74**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.81	NA	NA	**4.81**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.58	NA	NA	**4.58**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.67	NA	NA	**4.67**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.52	NA	NA	**4.52**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.61	NA	NA	**4.61**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.82	NA	NA	**4.82**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.61	NA	NA	**4.61**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.56	NA	NA	**4.56**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.31	NA	NA	**4.31**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.45	NA	NA	**4.45**
Average		**4.63**	**NA**	**NA**	**4.63**
No. of students giving feedback		**213**	**NA**	**NA**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. G. Kadam**   Subject: **Hindi**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem V)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.80	NA	NA	**4.80**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.56	NA	NA	**4.56**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.43	NA	NA	**4.43**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.63	NA	NA	**4.63**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.35	NA	NA	**4.35**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.69	NA	NA	**4.69**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.11	NA	NA	**4.11**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.25	NA	NA	**4.25**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.65	NA	NA	**4.65**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.46	NA	NA	**4.46**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.40	NA	NA	**4.40**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.83	NA	NA	**3.83**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.46	NA	NA	**4.46**
Average		**4.43**	**NA**	**NA**	**4.43**
No. of students giving feedback		**80**	**NA**	**NA**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

Name of the Teacher: **Mr. D. Kotangale**   Subject: **Marathi**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem V)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.73	NA	NA	**4.73**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.56	NA	NA	**4.56**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.37	NA	NA	**4.37**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.58	NA	NA	**4.58**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.37	NA	NA	**4.37**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.69	NA	NA	**4.69**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.30	NA	NA	**4.30**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.33	NA	NA	**4.33**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.57	NA	NA	**4.57**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.30	NA	NA	**4.30**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.16	NA	NA	**4.16**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.83	NA	NA	**3.83**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.52	NA	NA	**4.52**
Average		**4.41**	**NA**	**NA**	**4.41**
No. of students giving feedback		**89**	**NA**	**NA**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. J. A. Janbandhu, Head**   Subject: **Supplementary English**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem V)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.84	NA	NA	**4.84**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.68	NA	NA	**4.68**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.80	NA	NA	**4.80**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.70	NA	NA	**4.70**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.48	NA	NA	**4.48**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.75	NA	NA	**4.75**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.57	NA	NA	**4.57**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.61	NA	NA	**4.61**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.82	NA	NA	**4.82**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.59	NA	NA	**4.59**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.36	NA	NA	**4.36**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.48	NA	NA	**4.48**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.59	NA	NA	**4.59**
Average		**4.64**	**NA**	**NA**	**4.64**
No. of students giving feedback		**44**	**NA**	**NA**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Mr. S. T. Rathod, Head**   Department: **Mathematics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem V)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	3.85	NA	4.22	**4.04**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.23	NA	4.48	**4.36**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.19	NA	4.22	**4.20**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.20	NA	4.46	**4.33**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	3.94	NA	4.19	**4.06**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.45	NA	4.51	**4.48**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	3.72	NA	4.05	**3.88**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.10	NA	3.97	**4.03**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.27	NA	4.41	**4.34**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	3.91	NA	3.93	**3.92**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	3.81	NA	4.00	**3.91**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.81	NA	4.06	**3.94**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.16	NA	4.32	**4.24**
Average		**4.05**	**NA**	**4.22**	**4.13**
No. of students giving feedback		**101**	**NA**	**128**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Mr. P. Nimje**   Department: **Mathematics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem V)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.17	NA	NA	**4.17**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.39	NA	NA	**4.39**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.30	NA	NA	**4.30**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.64	NA	NA	**4.64**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.28	NA	NA	**4.28**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.58	NA	NA	**4.58**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	3.86	NA	NA	**3.86**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.16	NA	NA	**4.16**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.54	NA	NA	**4.54**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.12	NA	NA	**4.12**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	3.98	NA	NA	**3.98**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.10	NA	NA	**4.10**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.39	NA	NA	**4.39**
Average		**4.27**	**NA**	**NA**	**4.27**
No. of students giving feedback		**99**	**NA**	**NA**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Mrs. M. Kullarkar**   Department: **Mathematics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem V)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	NA	4.06	NA	**4.06**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	NA	4.07	NA	**4.07**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	NA	3.66	NA	**3.66**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	NA	3.93	NA	**3.93**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	NA	3.51	NA	**3.51**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	NA	4.06	NA	**4.06**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	NA	3.89	NA	**3.89**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	NA	3.77	NA	**3.77**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	NA	4.03	NA	**4.03**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	NA	3.90	NA	**3.90**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	NA	3.74	NA	**3.74**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	NA	3.94	NA	**3.94**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	NA	3.90	NA	**3.90**
Average		**NA**	**3.88**	**NA**	**3.88**
No. of students giving feedback		**NA**	**70**	**NA**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Ms. V. Asatkar**   Department: **Mathematics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem V)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	NA	4.10	3.99	**4.05**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	NA	4.09	4.17	**4.13**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	NA	3.73	3.83	**3.78**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	NA	3.99	4.06	**4.02**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	NA	3.64	3.77	**3.71**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	NA	4.13	4.23	**4.18**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	NA	3.91	3.85	**3.88**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	NA	3.74	4.05	**3.90**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	NA	4.09	4.16	**4.12**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	NA	3.94	3.88	**3.91**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	NA	3.87	3.76	**3.81**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	NA	3.96	3.93	**3.94**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	NA	4.01	3.95	**3.98**
Average		**NA**	**3.94**	**3.97**	**3.96**
No. of students giving feedback		**NA**	**70**	**128**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. M. S. Atone, Head**   Department: **Physics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem V)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.10	4.19	NA	**4.15**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.23	4.25	NA	**4.24**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	3.93	4.03	NA	**3.98**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.22	4.11	NA	**4.16**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	3.98	3.86	NA	**3.92**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.36	4.31	NA	**4.33**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	3.68	3.97	NA	**3.83**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	3.98	4.04	NA	**4.01**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.30	4.36	NA	**4.33**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	3.99	4.04	NA	**4.02**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	3.86	3.94	NA	**3.90**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.75	4.08	NA	**3.92**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.09	4.08	NA	**4.09**
Average		**4.04**	**4.10**	**NA**	**4.07**
No. of students giving feedback		**92**	**72**	**NA**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. J. N. Ramteke, Professor**   Department: **Physics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem V)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.40	4.79	NA	**4.60**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.54	4.72	NA	**4.63**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.42	4.40	NA	**4.41**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.67	4.71	NA	**4.69**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.41	4.68	NA	**4.55**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.50	4.57	NA	**4.53**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	3.79	4.39	NA	**4.09**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.21	4.49	NA	**4.35**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.66	4.81	NA	**4.73**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.27	4.46	NA	**4.37**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.15	4.36	NA	**4.26**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.20	4.61	NA	**4.40**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.41	4.69	NA	**4.55**
Average		**4.36**	**4.59**	**NA**	**4.47**
No. of students giving feedback		**92**	**72**	**NA**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. V. V. Soman, Associate Professor**   Department: **Physics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem V)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.45	NA	4.54	**4.49**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.32	NA	4.61	**4.46**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.12	NA	4.48	**4.30**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.39	NA	4.72	**4.56**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.27	NA	4.41	**4.34**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.45	NA	4.53	**4.49**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	3.61	NA	4.27	**3.94**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.10	NA	4.31	**4.20**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.46	NA	4.70	**4.58**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.11	NA	4.20	**4.16**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	3.98	NA	4.24	**4.11**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.80	NA	4.30	**4.05**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.25	NA	4.57	**4.41**
Average		**4.18**	**NA**	**4.45**	**4.31**
No. of students giving feedback		**92**	**NA**	**114**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. D. V. Nandanwar, Professor**   Department: **Physics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem V)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.02	NA	4.33	**4.18**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.23	NA	4.46	**4.35**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.12	NA	4.32	**4.22**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.37	NA	4.54	**4.45**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.03	NA	4.27	**4.15**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.33	NA	4.46	**4.39**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	3.67	NA	4.22	**3.95**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.09	NA	4.14	**4.11**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.33	NA	4.62	**4.47**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.01	NA	4.07	**4.04**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	3.91	NA	4.15	**4.03**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.58	NA	4.11	**3.85**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	3.74	NA	4.44	**4.09**
Average		**4.03**	**NA**	**4.32**	**4.18**
No. of students giving feedback		**92**	**NA**	**114**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. S. G. Goswami, Associate Professor**   Department: **Physics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem V)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.62	4.47	NA	**4.55**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.59	4.47	NA	**4.53**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.50	4.06	NA	**4.28**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.68	4.40	NA	**4.54**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.50	4.31	NA	**4.40**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.47	4.44	NA	**4.46**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	3.97	4.13	NA	**4.05**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.30	4.07	NA	**4.19**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.65	4.51	NA	**4.58**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.28	4.13	NA	**4.20**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.30	4.07	NA	**4.19**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.04	4.36	NA	**4.20**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.52	4.35	NA	**4.43**
Average		**4.42**	**4.29**	**NA**	**4.35**
No. of students giving feedback		**92**	**72**	**NA**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. U. R. Sonparote, Head**   Department: **Zoology**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem V)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.84	4.72	NA	**4.78**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.78	4.54	NA	**4.66**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.86	4.76	NA	**4.81**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.84	4.70	NA	**4.77**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.59	4.52	NA	**4.56**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.83	4.65	NA	**4.74**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.43	4.31	NA	**4.37**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.58	4.59	NA	**4.59**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.83	4.74	NA	**4.78**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.62	4.46	NA	**4.54**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.51	4.57	NA	**4.54**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.80	4.43	NA	**4.61**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.72	4.69	NA	**4.70**
Average		**4.71**	**4.59**	**NA**	**4.65**
No. of students giving feedback		**69**	**54**	**NA**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. P. U. Gajbe, Associate Professor**   Department: **Zoology**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem V)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.71	NA	4.50	**4.61**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.67	NA	4.44	**4.55**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.62	NA	3.90	**4.26**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.65	NA	4.19	**4.42**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.41	NA	4.06	**4.23**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.72	NA	4.58	**4.65**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.25	NA	4.06	**4.15**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.41	NA	4.17	**4.29**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.77	NA	4.44	**4.61**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.51	NA	3.81	**4.16**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.30	NA	3.67	**3.99**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.78	NA	4.15	**4.47**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.55	NA	4.23	**4.39**
Average		**4.57**	**NA**	**4.17**	**4.37**
No. of students giving feedback		**69**	**NA**	**52**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. R. S. Saha, Assistant Professor**   Department: **Zoology**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem V)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	NA	NA	4.40	**4.40**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	NA	NA	4.52	**4.52**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	NA	NA	4.33	**4.33**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	NA	NA	4.73	**4.73**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	NA	NA	4.27	**4.27**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	NA	NA	4.50	**4.50**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	NA	NA	4.25	**4.25**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	NA	NA	4.40	**4.40**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	NA	NA	4.79	**4.79**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	NA	NA	4.33	**4.33**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	NA	NA	4.10	**4.10**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	NA	NA	4.21	**4.21**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	NA	NA	4.42	**4.42**
Average		**NA**	**NA**	**4.40**	**4.40**
No. of students giving feedback		**NA**	**NA**	**52**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. N. V. Palande, Assistant Professor**   Department: **Zoology**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Score (Sem V)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	NA	4.26	NA	**4.26**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	NA	4.11	NA	**4.11**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	NA	4.24	NA	**4.24**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	NA	4.15	NA	**4.15**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	NA	3.69	NA	**3.69**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	NA	4.57	NA	**4.57**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	NA	3.83	NA	**3.83**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	NA	4.20	NA	**4.20**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	NA	4.37	NA	**4.37**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	NA	4.00	NA	**4.00**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	NA	4.00	NA	**4.00**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	NA	4.35	NA	**4.35**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	NA	3.85	NA	**3.85**
Average		**NA**	**4.13**	**NA**	**4.13**
No. of students giving feedback		**NA**	**54**	**NA**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Shri Mathuradas Mohota College of Science, Nagpur

QUESTION-WISE FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS
(BY STUDENTS OF B.Sc. SEMESTER II, IV & VI)

Sr. No.	Department	Name of Teacher	Page No.
1.	Botany	Dr. A. A. Fulzele	42
2.		Dr. S. K. Giri	43
3.		Dr. A. M. Sawane	44
4.		Ms. S. D. Khalatkar	45
5.	Chemistry	Dr. M. G. Dhonde	46
6.		Dr. R. R. Gupta	47
7.		Dr. C. B. Talwatkar	48
8.		Mr. S. U. Patle	49
9.		Dr. M. Jamdarkar	50
10.	Computer Science	Mr. S. B. Agarmore	51
11.		Ms. S. Mendhe	52
12.	Electronics	Dr. N. D. Meshram	53
13.		Dr. P. J. Yadav	54
14.		Mr. A. Kshirsagar	55
15.	Geology	Dr. S. P. Joshi	56
16.		Ms. S. Jawadand	57
17.	Industrial Chemistry	Mr. S. U. Patle	58
18.		Mr. M. Katiya	59
19.	Languages	Dr. J. A. Janbandhu (Compulsory English)	60
20.		Dr. G. Kadam	61
21.		Mr. D. Kotangale	62
22.		Dr. J. A. Janbandhu (Supplementary English)	63
23.	Mathematics	Mr. S. T. Rathod	64
24.		Mr. P. Nimje	65
25.		Mrs. M. Kullarkar	66
26.		Ms. V. Asatkar	67
27.	Physics	Dr. M. S. Atone	68
28.		Dr. J. N. Ramteke	69

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Sr. No.	Department	Name of Teacher	Page No.
29.		Dr. V. V. Soman	70
30.		Dr. D. V. Nandanwar	71
31.		Dr. S. G. Goswami	72
32.	Zoology	Dr. U. R. Sonparote	73
33.		Dr. P. U. Gajbe	74
34.		Dr. R. S. Saha	75
35.		Dr. N. V. Palande	76

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. A. A. Fulzele, Head**   Department: **Botany**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.81	NA	4.69	**4.75**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.73	NA	4.62	**4.68**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.76	NA	4.62	**4.69**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.79	NA	4.71	**4.75**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.65	NA	4.54	**4.60**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.82	NA	4.63	**4.73**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.65	NA	4.48	**4.57**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.71	NA	4.50	**4.61**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.82	NA	4.73	**4.78**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.68	NA	4.50	**4.59**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.53	NA	4.37	**4.45**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.74	NA	4.42	**4.58**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.74	NA	4.56	**4.65**
Average		**4.72**	**NA**	**4.57**	**4.65**
No. of students giving feedback		**62**	**NA**	**52**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. S. K. Giri, Associate Professor**   Department: **Botany**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	NA	4.58	NA	**4.58**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	NA	4.33	NA	**4.33**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	NA	3.82	NA	**3.82**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	NA	4.12	NA	**4.12**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	NA	3.89	NA	**3.89**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	NA	4.44	NA	**4.44**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	NA	3.82	NA	**3.82**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	NA	3.88	NA	**3.88**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	NA	4.30	NA	**4.30**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	NA	3.82	NA	**3.82**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	NA	3.77	NA	**3.77**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	NA	4.30	NA	**4.30**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	NA	4.12	NA	**4.12**
Average		**NA**	**4.09**	**NA**	**4.09**
No. of students giving feedback		**NA**	**57**	**NA**	**57**

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. A. M. Sawane, Associate Professor**   Department: **Botany**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	NA	4.67	4.79	**4.73**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	NA	4.44	4.65	**4.55**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	NA	4.23	4.69	**4.46**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	NA	4.53	4.79	**4.66**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	NA	4.14	4.50	**4.32**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	NA	4.51	4.69	**4.60**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	NA	3.98	4.54	**4.26**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	NA	4.28	4.52	**4.40**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	NA	4.46	4.81	**4.64**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	NA	4.04	4.58	**4.31**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	NA	4.00	4.46	**4.23**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	NA	4.18	4.40	**4.29**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	NA	4.37	4.65	**4.51**
Average		**NA**	**4.29**	**4.62**	**4.46**
No. of students giving feedback		**NA**	**57**	**52**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Ms. S. D. Khalatkar, Assistant Professor** Department: **Botany**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.77	NA	4.73	**4.75**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.73	NA	4.62	**4.68**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.73	NA	4.65	**4.69**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.74	NA	4.69	**4.72**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.77	NA	4.56	**4.67**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.79	NA	4.65	**4.72**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.74	NA	4.50	**4.62**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.73	NA	4.46	**4.60**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.87	NA	4.83	**4.85**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.69	NA	4.54	**4.62**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.56	NA	4.46	**4.51**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.69	NA	4.40	**4.55**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.81	NA	4.62	**4.72**
Average		**4.74**	**NA**	**4.59**	**4.67**
No. of students giving feedback		**62**	**NA**	**52**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. M. G. Dhonde, Professor & Head**   Department: **Chemistry**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	NA	NA	4.61	**4.61**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	NA	NA	4.61	**4.61**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	NA	NA	4.64	**4.64**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	NA	NA	4.71	**4.71**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	NA	NA	4.38	**4.38**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	NA	NA	4.55	**4.55**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	NA	NA	4.39	**4.39**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	NA	NA	4.37	**4.37**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	NA	NA	4.66	**4.66**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	NA	NA	4.34	**4.34**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	NA	NA	4.27	**4.27**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	NA	NA	4.39	**4.39**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	NA	NA	4.46	**4.46**
Average		**NA**	**NA**	**4.49**	**4.49**
No. of students giving feedback		**NA**	**NA**	**109**	**109**

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. R. R. Gupta, Associate Professor**   Department: **Chemistry**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	NA	NA	4.65	**4.65**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	NA	NA	4.62	**4.62**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	NA	NA	4.51	**4.51**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	NA	NA	4.73	**4.73**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	NA	NA	4.45	**4.45**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	NA	NA	4.58	**4.58**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	NA	NA	4.46	**4.46**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	NA	NA	4.28	**4.28**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	NA	NA	4.70	**4.70**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	NA	NA	4.31	**4.31**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	NA	NA	4.22	**4.22**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	NA	NA	4.29	**4.29**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	NA	NA	4.48	**4.48**
Average		**NA**	**NA**	**4.48**	**4.48**
No. of students giving feedback		**NA**	**NA**	**109**	**109**

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. C. B. Talwatkar, Associate Professor**   Department: **Chemistry**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	NA	2.92	NA	**2.92**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	NA	3.42	NA	**3.42**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	NA	3.42	NA	**3.42**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	NA	3.60	NA	**3.60**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	NA	3.15	NA	**3.15**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	NA	4.08	NA	**4.08**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	NA	3.45	NA	**3.45**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	NA	3.58	NA	**3.58**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	NA	3.65	NA	**3.65**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	NA	3.43	NA	**3.43**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	NA	3.37	NA	**3.37**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	NA	3.42	NA	**3.42**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	NA	3.46	NA	**3.46**
Average		**NA**	**3.46**	**NA**	**3.46**
No. of students giving feedback		**NA**	**142**	**NA**	**142**

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Mr. S. U. Patle**   Department: **Chemistry**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.57	4.49	NA	**4.53**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.58	4.44	NA	**4.51**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.48	4.17	NA	**4.33**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.71	4.48	NA	**4.60**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.59	4.20	NA	**4.40**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.68	4.42	NA	**4.55**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.44	4.07	NA	**4.26**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.44	4.01	NA	**4.23**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.70	4.54	NA	**4.62**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.45	4.10	NA	**4.28**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.36	4.04	NA	**4.20**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.21	4.27	NA	**4.24**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.65	4.42	NA	**4.54**
Average		**4.53**	**4.28**	**NA**	**4.41**
No. of students giving feedback		**121**	**142**	**NA**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. M. Jamdarkar**   Department: **Chemistry**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.57	NA	NA	**4.57**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.48	NA	NA	**4.48**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.47	NA	NA	**4.47**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.64	NA	NA	**4.64**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.40	NA	NA	**4.40**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.63	NA	NA	**4.63**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.29	NA	NA	**4.29**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.37	NA	NA	**4.37**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.67	NA	NA	**4.67**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.42	NA	NA	**4.42**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.32	NA	NA	**4.32**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.37	NA	NA	**4.37**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.55	NA	NA	**4.55**
Average		**4.48**	**NA**	**NA**	**4.48**
No. of students giving feedback		**116**	**NA**	**NA**	**116**

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Mr. S. B. Agarmore**   Department: **Computer Science**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.74	4.50	4.25	**4.50**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.74	4.63	4.38	**4.58**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.96	5.00	4.25	**4.74**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.91	4.88	4.13	**4.64**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.87	4.75	4.25	**4.62**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.91	4.75	4.25	**4.64**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.78	4.88	4.00	**4.55**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.96	4.88	4.25	**4.70**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.96	4.88	4.63	**4.82**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.83	4.75	3.88	**4.49**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.78	4.75	4.13	**4.55**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.91	5.00	4.63	**4.85**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.87	4.88	4.25	**4.67**
Average		**4.86**	**4.81**	**4.25**	**4.64**
No. of students giving feedback		**23**	**08**	**08**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Ms. S. Mendhe**   Department: **Computer Science**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.17	NA	4.38	**4.28**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.04	NA	4.00	**4.02**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.00	NA	3.63	**3.82**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.22	NA	3.88	**4.05**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	3.91	NA	3.75	**3.83**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.30	NA	4.13	**4.22**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.26	NA	3.75	**4.01**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.26	NA	3.75	**4.01**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.22	NA	4.00	**4.11**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.22	NA	3.75	**3.99**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.17	NA	4.13	**4.15**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.00	NA	4.13	**4.07**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.09	NA	3.88	**3.99**
Average		**4.14**	**NA**	**3.93**	**4.04**
No. of students giving feedback		**23**	**NA**	**08**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. N. D. Meshram, Head**   Department: **Electronics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.43	5.00	NA	**4.72**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	5.00	4.77	NA	**4.89**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.43	4.85	NA	**4.64**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	5.00	4.92	NA	**4.96**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	5.00	4.62	NA	**4.81**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	5.00	4.77	NA	**4.89**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.43	4.85	NA	**4.64**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.43	4.85	NA	**4.64**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.86	4.92	NA	**4.89**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.71	4.85	NA	**4.78**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.71	4.85	NA	**4.78**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.29	4.85	NA	**4.57**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.71	4.85	NA	**4.78**
Average		**4.69**	**4.84**	**NA**	**4.77**
No. of students giving feedback		**07**	**13**	**NA**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. P. J. Yadav** Department: **Electronics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	3.43	4.77	NA	**4.10**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	3.86	4.54	NA	**4.20**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	3.86	4.54	NA	**4.20**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.29	4.69	NA	**4.49**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.00	4.46	NA	**4.23**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.71	4.62	NA	**4.67**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.00	4.62	NA	**4.31**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.00	4.54	NA	**4.27**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.14	4.62	NA	**4.38**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.43	4.62	NA	**4.53**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.57	4.62	NA	**4.60**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.57	4.54	NA	**4.56**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.29	4.69	NA	**4.49**
Average		**4.16**	**4.60**	**NA**	**4.38**
No. of students giving feedback		**07**	**13**	**NA**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Mr. A. Kshirsagar**   Department: **Electronics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	NA	4.69	4.50	**4.60**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	NA	4.54	4.50	**4.52**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	NA	4.54	4.33	**4.44**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	NA	4.62	4.50	**4.56**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	NA	4.31	4.17	**4.24**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	NA	4.54	4.50	**4.52**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	NA	4.62	4.00	**4.31**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	NA	4.46	4.00	**4.23**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	NA	4.69	4.17	**4.43**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	NA	4.62	4.00	**4.31**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	NA	4.69	4.00	**4.35**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	NA	4.31	3.83	**4.07**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	NA	4.54	4.33	**4.44**
Average		**NA**	**4.55**	**4.22**	**4.39**
No. of students giving feedback		**NA**	**13**	**06**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. S. P. Joshi, Head**   Department: **Geology**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.84	4.83	4.85	**4.84**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.68	4.62	4.82	**4.71**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.82	4.58	4.72	**4.71**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.87	4.75	4.87	**4.83**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.82	4.47	4.64	**4.64**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.84	4.80	4.64	**4.76**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.58	4.53	4.33	**4.48**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.68	4.38	4.31	**4.46**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.76	4.70	4.72	**4.73**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.61	4.42	4.36	**4.46**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.50	3.17	4.15	**3.94**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.42	4.55	4.41	**4.46**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.82	4.60	4.51	**4.64**
Average		**4.71**	**4.49**	**4.56**	**4.59**
No. of students giving feedback		**38**	**60**	**39**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Ms. S. A. Jawadand**   Department: **Geology**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.89	4.73	4.82	**4.81**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.50	4.22	4.64	**4.45**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.71	4.17	4.64	**4.51**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.76	4.28	4.72	**4.59**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.66	4.15	4.49	**4.43**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.74	4.52	4.54	**4.60**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.53	4.23	4.21	**4.32**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.50	4.02	4.13	**4.22**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.63	4.48	4.59	**4.57**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.50	4.00	4.23	**4.24**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.37	3.97	4.08	**4.14**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.63	4.42	4.38	**4.48**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.55	4.32	4.41	**4.43**
Average		**4.61**	**4.27**	**4.45**	**4.44**
No. of students giving feedback		**38**	**60**	**39**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Mr. S. U. Patle**   Subject: **Industrial Chemistry**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.72	NA	NA	**4.72**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.72	NA	NA	**4.72**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.67	NA	NA	**4.67**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.61	NA	NA	**4.61**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.67	NA	NA	**4.67**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.67	NA	NA	**4.67**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.44	NA	NA	**4.44**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.61	NA	NA	**4.61**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.83	NA	NA	**4.83**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.83	NA	NA	**4.83**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.44	NA	NA	**4.44**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.28	NA	NA	**4.28**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.72	NA	NA	**4.72**
Average		**4.63**	**NA**	**NA**	**4.63**
No. of students giving feedback		**18**	**NA**	**NA**	**18**

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Mr. M. Katiya**   Subject: **Industrial Chemistry**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.61	4.88	4.89	**4.79**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.67	4.81	4.71	**4.73**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.67	4.81	4.74	**4.74**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.78	4.81	4.86	**4.82**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.67	4.81	4.60	**4.69**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.56	4.75	4.74	**4.68**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.22	4.75	4.86	**4.61**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.56	4.63	4.63	**4.61**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.78	4.88	4.83	**4.83**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.50	4.81	4.63	**4.65**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	1.06	4.56	4.69	**3.44**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.22	4.38	4.23	**4.28**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.50	4.63	4.69	**4.61**
Average		**4.29**	**4.73**	**4.70**	**4.44**
No. of students giving feedback		**18**	**16**	**35**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. J. A. Janbandhu, Head**   Subject: **Compulsory English**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.76	NA	NA	**4.76**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.70	NA	NA	**4.70**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.70	NA	NA	**4.70**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.83	NA	NA	**4.83**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.36	NA	NA	**4.36**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.76	NA	NA	**4.76**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.27	NA	NA	**4.27**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.56	NA	NA	**4.56**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.79	NA	NA	**4.79**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.52	NA	NA	**4.52**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.50	NA	NA	**4.50**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.22	NA	NA	**4.22**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.75	NA	NA	**4.75**
Average		**4.59**	**NA**	**NA**	**4.59**
No. of students giving feedback		**151**	**NA**	**NA**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. G. Kadam**   Subject: **Hindi**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.74	NA	NA	**4.74**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.66	NA	NA	**4.66**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.47	NA	NA	**4.47**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.57	NA	NA	**4.57**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.38	NA	NA	**4.38**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.66	NA	NA	**4.66**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.26	NA	NA	**4.26**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.43	NA	NA	**4.43**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.49	NA	NA	**4.49**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.38	NA	NA	**4.38**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.40	NA	NA	**4.40**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.74	NA	NA	**3.74**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.40	NA	NA	**4.40**
Average		**4.43**	**NA**	**NA**	**4.43**
No. of students giving feedback		**47**	**NA**	**NA**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Mr. D. Kotangale**   Subject: **Marathi**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.73	NA	NA	**4.73**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.52	NA	NA	**4.52**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.57	NA	NA	**4.57**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.67	NA	NA	**4.67**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.41	NA	NA	**4.41**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.68	NA	NA	**4.68**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.46	NA	NA	**4.46**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.05	NA	NA	**4.05**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.38	NA	NA	**4.38**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.05	NA	NA	**4.05**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.22	NA	NA	**4.22**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.83	NA	NA	**3.83**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.24	NA	NA	**4.24**
Average		**4.37**	**NA**	**NA**	**4.37**
No. of students giving feedback		**63**	**NA**	**NA**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. J. A. Janbandhu, Head**   Subject: **Supplementary English**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.91	NA	NA	**4.91**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.75	NA	NA	**4.75**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.84	NA	NA	**4.84**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.75	NA	NA	**4.75**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.81	NA	NA	**4.81**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.81	NA	NA	**4.81**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.84	NA	NA	**4.84**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.69	NA	NA	**4.69**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.88	NA	NA	**4.88**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.72	NA	NA	**4.72**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.72	NA	NA	**4.72**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.34	NA	NA	**4.34**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.88	NA	NA	**4.88**
Average		**4.76**	**NA**	**NA**	**4.76**
No. of students giving feedback		**32**	**NA**	**NA**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Mr. S. T. Rathod, Head**   Department: **Mathematics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.51	NA	4.49	**4.50**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.34	NA	4.61	**4.48**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.44	NA	4.41	**4.43**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.56	NA	4.57	**4.57**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.26	NA	4.41	**4.34**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.68	NA	4.61	**4.65**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.14	NA	4.33	**4.24**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.25	NA	4.24	**4.25**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.63	NA	4.59	**4.61**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.29	NA	4.22	**4.26**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.30	NA	4.14	**4.22**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.85	NA	4.08	**3.97**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.47	NA	4.45	**4.46**
Average		**4.36**	**NA**	**4.40**	**4.38**
No. of students giving feedback		**73**	**NA**	**49**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Mr. P. Nimje**   Department: **Mathematics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.53	NA	NA	**4.53**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.49	NA	NA	**4.49**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.65	NA	NA	**4.65**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.72	NA	NA	**4.72**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.47	NA	NA	**4.47**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.60	NA	NA	**4.60**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.28	NA	NA	**4.28**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.47	NA	NA	**4.47**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.67	NA	NA	**4.67**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.23	NA	NA	**4.23**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.33	NA	NA	**4.33**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.00	NA	NA	**4.00**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.49	NA	NA	**4.49**
Average		**4.46**	**NA**	**NA**	**4.46**
No. of students giving feedback		**43**	**NA**	**NA**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Mrs. M. Kullarkar**   Department: **Mathematics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	NA	4.35	NA	**4.35**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	NA	4.14	NA	**4.14**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	NA	4.00	NA	**4.00**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	NA	4.03	NA	**4.03**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	NA	3.67	NA	**3.67**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	NA	4.25	NA	**4.25**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	NA	3.97	NA	**3.97**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	NA	3.97	NA	**3.97**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	NA	4.15	NA	**4.15**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	NA	3.97	NA	**3.97**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	NA	3.88	NA	**3.88**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	NA	3.75	NA	**3.75**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	NA	4.00	NA	**4.00**
Average		**NA**	**4.01**	**NA**	**4.01**
No. of students giving feedback		**NA**	**72**	**NA**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Ms. V. Asatkar**   Department: **Mathematics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	NA	4.43	4.51	**4.47**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	NA	4.14	4.49	**4.32**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	NA	3.93	4.18	**4.06**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	NA	4.03	4.45	**4.24**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	NA	3.69	4.18	**3.94**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	NA	4.31	4.47	**4.39**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	NA	4.04	4.22	**4.13**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	NA	3.99	3.45	**3.72**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	NA	4.18	4.51	**4.35**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	NA	4.01	4.16	**4.09**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	NA	3.94	4.10	**4.02**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	NA	3.82	4.12	**3.97**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	NA	4.11	4.39	**4.25**
Average		**NA**	**4.05**	**4.25**	**4.15**
No. of students giving feedback		**NA**	**72**	**49**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. M. S. Atone, Head**   Department: **Physics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.31	4.29	NA	**4.30**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.25	4.35	NA	**4.30**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.25	4.19	NA	**4.22**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.43	4.19	NA	**4.31**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.07	3.96	NA	**4.02**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.51	4.45	NA	**4.48**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.23	4.10	NA	**4.17**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.05	4.13	NA	**4.09**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.49	4.19	NA	**4.34**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.13	4.14	NA	**4.14**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.05	4.10	NA	**4.08**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.75	3.94	NA	**3.85**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.23	4.26	NA	**4.25**
Average		**4.21**	**4.18**	**NA**	**4.20**
No. of students giving feedback		**61**	**69**	**NA**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. J. N. Ramteke, Professor**   Department: **Physics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.61	4.71	NA	**4.66**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.52	4.64	NA	**4.58**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.51	4.58	NA	**4.55**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.79	4.62	NA	**4.71**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.57	4.48	NA	**4.53**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.74	4.52	NA	**4.63**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.52	4.52	NA	**4.52**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.33	4.45	NA	**4.39**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.80	4.72	NA	**4.76**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.39	4.38	NA	**4.39**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.31	4.35	NA	**4.33**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.34	4.62	NA	**4.48**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.66	4.59	NA	**4.63**
Average		**4.55**	**4.55**	**NA**	**4.55**
No. of students giving feedback		**61**	**69**	**NA**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. V. V. Soman, Associate Professor**   Department: **Physics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.49	NA	4.66	**4.58**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.48	NA	4.72	**4.60**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.31	NA	4.59	**4.45**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.64	NA	4.72	**4.68**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.33	NA	4.47	**4.40**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.49	NA	4.59	**4.54**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.30	NA	4.22	**4.26**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.11	NA	4.34	**4.23**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.62	NA	4.47	**4.55**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.26	NA	4.22	**4.24**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.16	NA	4.25	**4.21**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.70	NA	4.19	**3.95**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.36	NA	4.50	**4.43**
Average		**4.33**	**NA**	**4.46**	**4.40**
No. of students giving feedback		**61**	**NA**	**32**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. D. V. Nandanwar, Professor**   Department: **Physics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.34	NA	4.56	**4.45**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.34	NA	4.66	**4.50**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.21	NA	4.56	**4.39**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.52	NA	4.69	**4.61**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.23	NA	4.44	**4.34**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.59	NA	4.50	**4.55**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.26	NA	4.09	**4.18**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.11	NA	4.19	**4.15**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.56	NA	4.41	**4.49**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.16	NA	4.16	**4.16**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.25	NA	4.16	**4.21**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.10	NA	4.22	**4.16**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.34	NA	4.44	**4.39**
Average		**4.31**	**NA**	**4.39**	**4.35**
No. of students giving feedback		**61**	**NA**	**32**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. S. G. Goswami, Associate Professor**   Department: **Physics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.59	4.43	NA	**4.51**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.64	4.43	NA	**4.54**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.44	4.33	NA	**4.39**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.70	4.46	NA	**4.58**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.52	4.17	NA	**4.35**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.66	4.43	NA	**4.55**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.41	4.32	NA	**4.37**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.23	4.23	NA	**4.23**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.67	4.43	NA	**4.55**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.33	4.23	NA	**4.28**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.30	4.14	NA	**4.22**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.87	4.03	NA	**3.95**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.57	4.48	NA	**4.53**
Average		**4.46**	**4.32**	**NA**	**4.39**
No. of students giving feedback		**61**	**69**	**NA**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. U. R. Sonparote, Head**   Department: **Zoology**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	NA	4.73	NA	**4.73**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	NA	4.58	NA	**4.58**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	NA	4.65	NA	**4.65**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	NA	4.71	NA	**4.71**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	NA	4.46	NA	**4.46**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	NA	4.54	NA	**4.54**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	NA	4.04	NA	**4.04**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	NA	4.46	NA	**4.46**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	NA	4.73	NA	**4.73**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	NA	4.25	NA	**4.25**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	NA	4.35	NA	**4.35**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	NA	4.56	NA	**4.56**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	NA	4.58	NA	**4.58**
Average		**NA**	**4.51**	**NA**	**4.51**
No. of students giving feedback		**NA**	**52**	**NA**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. P. U. Gajbe, Associate Professor**   Department: **Zoology**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	NA	4.62	NA	**4.62**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	NA	4.27	NA	**4.27**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	NA	3.83	NA	**3.83**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	NA	4.19	NA	**4.19**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	NA	3.85	NA	**3.85**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	NA	4.54	NA	**4.54**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	NA	3.58	NA	**3.58**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	NA	3.87	NA	**3.87**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	NA	4.37	NA	**4.37**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	NA	3.79	NA	**3.79**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	NA	3.81	NA	**3.81**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	NA	4.35	NA	**4.35**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	NA	4.15	NA	**4.15**
Average		**NA**	**4.09**	**NA**	**4.09**
No. of students giving feedback		**NA**	**52**	**NA**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. R. S. Saha, Assistant Professor**   Department: **Zoology**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.70	NA	4.54	**4.62**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.64	NA	4.51	**4.58**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.64	NA	4.56	**4.60**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.76	NA	4.62	**4.69**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.60	NA	4.33	**4.47**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.78	NA	4.46	**4.62**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.48	NA	4.18	**4.33**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.56	NA	4.41	**4.49**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.74	NA	4.72	**4.73**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.64	NA	4.23	**4.44**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.46	NA	4.18	**4.32**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.72	NA	4.51	**4.62**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.68	NA	4.36	**4.52**
Average		**4.65**	**NA**	**4.43**	**4.54**
No. of students giving feedback		**50**	**NA**	**39**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. N. V. Palande, Assistant Professor**   Department: **Zoology**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Score (Sem VI)	**Average score**
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.78	NA	4.64	**4.71**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.78	NA	4.56	**4.67**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.82	NA	4.67	**4.75**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.84	NA	4.69	**4.77**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.72	NA	4.38	**4.55**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.88	NA	4.56	**4.72**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.44	NA	4.36	**4.40**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.66	NA	4.44	**4.55**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.84	NA	4.62	**4.73**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.62	NA	4.26	**4.44**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.62	NA	4.13	**4.38**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.80	NA	4.51	**4.66**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.78	NA	4.44	**4.61**
Average		**4.74**	**NA**	**4.48**	**4.61**
No. of students giving feedback		**50**	**NA**	**39**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable

FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS BY STUDENTS (PG)

2020-21

SHRI MATHURADAS MOHOTA COLLEGE OF SCIENCE

NAGPUR

Shri Mathuradas Mohota College of Science, Nagpur

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

QUESTION-WISE FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS
(BY STUDENTS OF M.Sc. SEMESTER I & III)

Shri Mathuradas Mohota College of Science, Nagpur

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. M. P. Meshram**   Department: **Botany**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Average score
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.82	4.50	**4.66**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.68	4.17	**4.43**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.82	4.56	**4.69**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.77	4.33	**4.55**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.55	4.61	**4.58**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.68	4.33	**4.51**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.63	4.22	**4.43**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.68	4.28	**4.48**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.73	4.22	**4.48**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.64	4.17	**4.41**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.50	3.83	**4.17**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.41	4.44	**4.43**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.64	3.89	**4.27**
Average		**4.66**	**4.27**	**4.47**
Number of students giving feedback		**22**	**18**	

Shri Mathuradas Mohota College of Science, Nagpur

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. S. S. Choudhary**   Department: **Botany**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Average score
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.77	4.22	**4.50**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.68	4.28	**4.48**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.91	4.50	**4.71**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.82	4.72	**4.77**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.41	4.22	**4.32**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.82	4.56	**4.69**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.86	4.50	**4.68**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.64	4.28	**4.46**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.82	4.33	**4.58**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.64	4.28	**4.46**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.59	4.22	**4.41**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.91	4.56	**4.74**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.59	4.11	**4.35**
Average		**4.73**	**4.37**	**4.55**
Number of students giving feedback		**22**	**18**	

Shri Mathuradas Mohota College of Science, Nagpur

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. A. Danao-Bodhane**   Department: **Chemistry**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Average score
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.21	4.50	**4.36**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.63	4.56	**4.60**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.83	4.78	**4.81**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.92	4.94	**4.93**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.58	4.50	**4.54**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.67	4.83	**4.75**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.75	4.72	**4.74**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.67	4.39	**4.53**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.79	4.67	**4.73**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.75	4.72	**4.74**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.75	4.67	**4.71**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.00	3.72	**3.86**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.67	4.17	**4.42**
Average		**4.63**	**4.55**	**4.59**
Number of students giving feedback		**24**	**18**	

Shri Mathuradas Mohota College of Science, Nagpur

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Mr. P. Nimje**   Department: **Mathematics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Average score
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.38	4.48	**4.43**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.58	4.78	**4.68**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.50	4.96	**4.73**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.63	4.82	**4.73**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.21	4.70	**4.46**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.63	4.83	**4.73**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.33	4.57	**4.45**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.46	4.70	**4.58**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.54	4.78	**4.66**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.33	4.83	**4.58**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.29	4.61	**4.45**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.46	4.17	**4.32**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.42	4.70	**4.56**
Average		**4.44**	**4.69**	**4.57**
Number of students giving feedback		**24**	**23**	

Shri Mathuradas Mohota College of Science, Nagpur

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Ms. V. Asatkar**   Department: **Mathematics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Average score
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.58	4.57	**4.58**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.42	4.61	**4.52**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.21	4.78	**4.50**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.54	4.70	**4.62**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.08	4.43	**4.26**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.63	4.83	**4.73**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.17	4.57	**4.37**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.17	4.57	**4.37**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.29	4.78	**4.54**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.29	4.83	**4.56**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.29	4.43	**4.36**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.36	4.09	**4.23**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.42	4.52	**4.47**
Average		**4.34**	**4.59**	**4.47**
Number of students giving feedback		**24**	**23**	

Shri Mathuradas Mohota College of Science, Nagpur

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Ms. M. Kullarkar**   Department: **Mathematics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Average score
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.50	4.43	**4.47**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.21	4.57	**4.39**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.00	4.83	**4.42**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.04	4.70	**4.37**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	3.58	4.43	**4.01**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.75	4.83	**4.79**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.13	4.61	**4.37**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	3.96	4.70	**4.33**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	3.88	4.70	**4.29**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.08	4.78	**4.43**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.08	4.48	**4.28**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.42	4.09	**4.26**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.04	4.52	**4.28**
Average		**4.13**	**4.59**	**4.36**
Number of students giving feedback		**24**	**23**	

Shri Mathuradas Mohota College of Science, Nagpur

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Ms. P. Devikar**   Department: **Mathematics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Average score
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.71	4.91	**4.81**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.58	4.87	**4.73**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.38	4.87	**4.63**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.79	4.87	**4.83**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.21	4.74	**4.48**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.71	4.87	**4.79**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.29	4.65	**4.47**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.29	4.74	**4.52**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.13	4.87	**4.50**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.25	4.83	**4.54**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.38	4.61	**4.50**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.50	4.09	**4.30**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.33	4.74	**4.54**
Average		**4.43**	**4.74**	**4.59**
Number of students giving feedback		**24**	**23**	

Shri Mathuradas Mohota College of Science, Nagpur

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Ms. A. Barange**   Department: **Mathematics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Average score
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	NA	4.87	**4.87**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	NA	4.70	**4.70**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	NA	4.87	**4.87**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	NA	4.83	**4.83**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	NA	4.52	**4.52**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	NA	4.83	**4.83**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	NA	4.61	**4.61**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	NA	4.61	**4.61**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	NA	4.74	**4.74**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	NA	4.83	**4.83**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	NA	4.57	**4.57**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	NA	4.09	**4.09**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	NA	4.57	**4.57**
Average		NA	4.66	**4.66**
Number of students giving feedback		NA	23	

Shri Mathuradas Mohota College of Science, Nagpur

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Ms. M. Bhandekar**   Department: **Physics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Average score
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.50	4.50	**4.50**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.64	4.05	**4.35**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.95	4.15	**4.55**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.95	3.80	**4.38**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.73	3.65	**4.19**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.86	3.75	**4.31**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.50	3.55	**4.03**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.68	4.05	**4.37**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.86	4.05	**4.46**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.73	3.25	**3.99**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.59	3.45	**4.02**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.95	3.75	**3.85**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.50	3.85	**4.18**
Average		**4.65**	**3.83**	**4.24**
Number of students giving feedback		**22**	**20**	

Shri Mathuradas Mohota College of Science, Nagpur

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. A. Ghosh**   Department: **Physics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Average score
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	3.45	3.95	**3.70**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.18	4.10	**4.14**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.50	4.30	**4.40**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.41	4.50	**4.46**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.09	3.75	**3.92**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.68	4.40	**4.54**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.14	3.75	**3.95**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.14	3.95	**4.05**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.50	4.05	**4.28**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.23	3.65	**3.94**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.36	3.90	**4.13**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.64	3.70	**3.67**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.27	4.10	**4.19**
Average		**4.20**	**4.01**	**4.10**
Number of students giving feedback		**22**	**20**	

Shri Mathuradas Mohota College of Science, Nagpur

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. V. Badiye**   Department: **Zoology**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Average score
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.89	4.95	**4.72**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.68	4.85	**4.64**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.79	4.85	**4.72**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.94	4.95	**4.77**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.68	4.80	**4.52**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.84	4.90	**4.72**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.79	4.95	**4.37**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.68	4.90	**4.58**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.74	4.95	**4.83**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.47	4.95	**4.56**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.58	4.90	**4.40**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.84	5.00	**4.50**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.58	4.85	**4.65**
Average		**4.73**	**4.91**	**4.82**
Number of students giving feedback		**19**	**20**	

Shri Mathuradas Mohota College of Science, Nagpur

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION I

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. N. Luharia**   Department: **Zoology**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem I)	Score (Sem III)	Average score
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	3.89	4.10	**4.00**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.47	4.20	**4.34**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.42	4.30	**4.36**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.31	4.25	**4.28**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	3.89	3.90	**3.90**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.63	4.55	**4.59**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	3.52	4.30	**3.91**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.32	4.15	**4.24**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.42	4.45	**4.44**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.11	4.25	**4.18**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.16	4.25	**4.21**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.16	4.30	**4.23**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.32	4.20	**4.26**
Average		**4.20**	**4.25**	**4.22**
Number of students giving feedback		**19**	**20**	

Shri Mathuradas Mohota College of Science, Nagpur

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

QUESTION-WISE FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(BY STUDENTS OF M.Sc. SEMESTER II & IV)

Sr. No.	Department	Name of Teacher	Page No.
1.	Botany	Dr. M. P. Meshram	92
2.		Dr. S. S. Choudhary	93
3.	Chemistry	Dr. A. Danao-Bodhane	94
4.	Mathematics	Mr. P. Nimje	95
5.		Ms. V. Asatkar	96
6.		Ms. M. Kullarkar	97
7.		Ms. P. Devikar	98
8.		Ms. A. Barange	99
9.	Physics	Ms. M. Bhandekar	100
10.		Dr. A. Ghosh	101
11.	Zoology	Dr. V. Badiye	102
12.		Dr. N. Luharia	103

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable, NFR: No Feedback Received

Shri Mathuradas Mohota College of Science, Nagpur

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. M. P. Meshram**   Department: **Botany**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Average score
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.78	3.80	**4.29**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.33	3.70	**4.02**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.56	3.90	**4.23**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	5.00	3.60	**4.30**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.11	3.00	**3.56**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.78	3.60	**4.19**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.11	3.90	**4.01**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.67	3.70	**4.19**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.67	4.20	**4.44**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.33	2.90	**3.62**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.56	2.90	**3.73**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.67	3.90	**4.29**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.33	3.40	**3.87**
Average		**4.53**	**3.58**	**4.06**
Number of students giving feedback		**09**	**10**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable, NFR: No Feedback Received

Shri Mathuradas Mohota College of Science, Nagpur

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. S. S. Choudhary**   Department: **Botany**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Average score
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.78	3.90	**4.34**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.67	4.20	**4.44**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.56	3.90	**4.23**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.78	4.00	**4.39**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.44	3.60	**4.02**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.67	4.20	**4.44**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.67	4.50	**4.59**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.67	3.80	**4.24**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.78	4.20	**4.49**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.33	3.30	**3.82**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.56	3.10	**3.83**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.89	4.80	**4.85**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.67	4.00	**4.34**
Average		**4.65**	**3.96**	**4.31**
Number of students giving feedback		**09**	**10**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable, NFR: No Feedback Received

Shri Mathuradas Mohota College of Science, Nagpur

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. A. Danao-Bodhane**   Department: **Chemistry**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Average score
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	NFR	4.75	**4.75**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	NFR	4.63	**4.63**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	NFR	4.63	**4.63**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	NFR	5.00	**5.00**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	NFR	4.38	**4.38**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	NFR	4.75	**4.75**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	NFR	4.63	**4.63**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	NFR	4.38	**4.38**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	NFR	4.50	**4.50**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	NFR	4.75	**4.75**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	NFR	4.63	**4.63**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	NFR	3.38	**3.38**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	NFR	4.63	**4.63**
Average			4.54	4.54
Number of students giving feedback			08	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable, NFR: No Feedback Received

Shri Mathuradas Mohota College of Science, Nagpur

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Mr. P. Nimje**   Department: **Mathematics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Average score
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.59	4.89	**4.74**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.82	4.56	**4.69**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.71	4.61	**4.66**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.94	4.83	**4.89**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.65	4.61	**4.63**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.82	4.78	**4.80**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.71	4.78	**4.75**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.82	4.67	**4.75**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.88	4.67	**4.78**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.53	4.72	**4.63**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.47	4.78	**4.63**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.53	4.39	**4.46**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.76	4.56	**4.66**
Average		**4.71**	**4.68**	**4.70**
Number of students giving feedback		**17**	**18**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable, NFR: No Feedback Received

Shri Mathuradas Mohota College of Science, Nagpur

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Ms. V. Asatkar**   Department: **Mathematics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Average score
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.53	4.72	**4.63**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.59	4.50	**4.55**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.06	4.44	**4.25**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.41	4.61	**4.51**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.12	4.44	**4.28**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.59	4.67	**4.63**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.24	4.61	**4.43**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.35	4.56	**4.46**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.65	4.50	**4.58**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.12	4.50	**4.31**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.12	4.67	**4.40**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.24	4.00	**4.12**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.24	4.50	**4.37**
Average		**4.33**	**4.52**	**4.43**
Number of students giving feedback		**17**	**18**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable, NFR: No Feedback Received

Shri Mathuradas Mohota College of Science, Nagpur

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Ms. M. Kullarkar**   Department: **Mathematics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Average score
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.35	4.72	**4.54**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	3.94	4.50	**4.22**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	3.76	4.50	**4.13**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.00	4.67	**4.34**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	3.41	4.22	**3.82**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.41	4.67	**4.54**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.06	4.67	**4.37**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.00	4.50	**4.25**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.12	4.50	**4.31**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	3.88	4.61	**4.25**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	3.94	4.56	**4.25**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.06	4.06	**4.06**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	3.76	4.44	**4.10**
Average		**3.98**	**4.51**	**4.25**
Number of students giving feedback		**17**	**18**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable, NFR: No Feedback Received

Shri Mathuradas Mohota College of Science, Nagpur

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Ms. P. Devikar**   Department: **Mathematics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Average score
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.53	4.83	**4.68**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.29	4.67	**4.48**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.06	4.67	**4.37**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.35	4.78	**4.57**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.00	4.72	**4.36**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.53	4.78	**4.66**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.35	4.78	**4.57**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.24	4.67	**4.46**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.47	4.61	**4.54**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.24	4.67	**4.46**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.29	4.78	**4.54**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.24	4.22	**4.23**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.18	4.61	**4.40**
Average		**4.29**	**4.68**	**4.49**
Number of students giving feedback		**17**	**18**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable, NFR: No Feedback Received

Shri Mathuradas Mohota College of Science, Nagpur

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Ms. A. Barange**   Department: **Mathematics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Average score
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	NA	4.83	**4.83**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	NA	4.50	**4.50**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	NA	4.61	**4.61**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	NA	4.78	**4.78**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	NA	4.39	**4.39**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	NA	4.72	**4.72**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	NA	4.83	**4.83**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	NA	4.56	**4.56**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	NA	4.56	**4.56**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	NA	4.56	**4.56**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	NA	4.67	**4.67**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	NA	4.06	**4.06**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	NA	4.44	**4.44**
Average		**NA**	**4.58**	4.58
Number of students giving feedback		**NA**	**18**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable, NFR: No Feedback Received

Shri Mathuradas Mohota College of Science, Nagpur

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Ms. M. Bhandekar**   Department: **Physics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Average score
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	NFR	5.00	**5.00**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	NFR	4.80	**4.80**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	NFR	4.80	**4.80**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	NFR	4.80	**4.80**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	NFR	4.60	**4.60**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	NFR	4.80	**4.80**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	NFR	4.60	**4.60**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	NFR	4.60	**4.60**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	NFR	5.00	**5.00**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	NFR	4.20	**4.20**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	NFR	4.40	**4.40**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	NFR	5.00	**5.00**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	NFR	4.60	**4.60**
Average			**4.71**	**4.71**
Number of students giving feedback			**05**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable, NFR: No Feedback Received

Shri Mathuradas Mohota College of Science, Nagpur

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. A. Ghosh**   Department: **Physics**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Average score
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	NFR	4.00	**4.00**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	NFR	4.40	**4.40**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	NFR	4.00	**4.00**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	NFR	4.20	**4.20**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	NFR	4.00	**4.00**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	NFR	4.60	**4.60**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	NFR	4.40	**4.40**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	NFR	4.60	**4.60**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	NFR	4.80	**4.80**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	NFR	4.00	**4.00**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	NFR	4.20	**4.20**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	NFR	4.60	**4.60**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	NFR	4.40	**4.40**
Average			**4.32**	**4.32**
Number of students giving feedback			**05**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable, NFR: No Feedback Received

Shri Mathuradas Mohota College of Science, Nagpur

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. V. Badiye**   Department: **Zoology**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Average score
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.83	4.94	**4.89**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.83	4.82	**4.83**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.67	5.00	**4.84**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4.92	4.94	**4.93**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4.75	4.88	**4.82**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.33	4.71	**4.52**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.92	4.94	**4.93**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.67	4.82	**4.75**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.83	4.88	**4.86**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.58	4.94	**4.76**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.67	4.94	**4.81**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	4.00	5.00	**4.50**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.42	4.88	**4.65**
Average		**4.65**	**4.90**	**4.78**
Number of students giving feedback		**12**	**17**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable, NFR: No Feedback Received

Shri Mathuradas Mohota College of Science, Nagpur

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2020-21 SESSION II

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. N. Luharia**   Department: **Zoology**

Question No.	Question	Score (Sem II)	Score (Sem IV)	Average score
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4.17	4.24	**4.21**
2.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4.17	4.06	**4.12**
3.	Teacher informs you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4.17	4.18	**4.18**
4.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	3.83	4.12	**3.98**
5.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	3.67	4.12	**3.90**
6.	Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the teacher	4.17	4.47	**4.32**
7.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4.00	4.47	**4.24**
8.	The teacher encourages you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4.08	4.12	**4.10**
9.	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications.	4.17	4.47	**4.32**
10.	The teacher identifies your strengths and encourages you with providing right level of challenges.	4.08	4.35	**4.22**
11.	The teacher is able to identify your weaknesses and helps you to overcome them.	4.00	4.06	**4.03**
12.	The teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, Google Classroom, MOODLE, etc. while teaching.	3.83	4.35	**4.09**
13.	The overall quality of teaching-learning process is very good.	4.00	4.12	**4.06**
Average		**4.03**	**4.24**	**4.14**
Number of students giving feedback		**12**	**17**	

NOTE: The score is given on a five-point scale, where a score of 1 is lowest and 5 is highest
NA: Not Applicable, NFR: No Feedback Received